NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

Royal Oak, Michigan | June 24, 1940 | Subscription: Three Dollars per Year | 10c

KEEP U.S. OUT OF WAR, WAR OUT OF AMERICA

British and Bankers Back U. S. Committee to Aid the Allies

(Story on Page 11)

Roosevelt's War Plans Scuttled!

(See Page 6)

Congressmen are swamped with letters and telegrams urging them to vote for war. Did you make *your views* known to your Congressman? If not, write or wire him TODAY!

New York Sedition Case Goes to Jury This Week!

COMMENT ON WORLD EVENTS ★ REVOLUTION FACES AMERICA ★ A PATTERN OF COMMUNISM

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

DINNER CHAT
By the Staff

SOCIAL JUSTICE has short patience with criticism from those who admit they do not read the magazine.

This National Weekly magazine of comment on world events is published for its *readers.*

By readers we mean you, and you, and you! This is, and always has been, *your paper.*

We mean the man in the street, the housewife, Junior and Sister who may chance to pick up Dad and Mom's copy as it comes into the home, the worker at the factory bench, the business man in the store, the professional man in his office—in short, Mr. and Mrs. America: the people who pay the taxes, and who fight the wars that our leaders get us into.

* * *

World Revolution

"THE TOTAL WAR now unleashed in Europe inaugurates the final phase of a world revolution that was begun in 1914," declares the informed and thoughtful editor of the Buffalo *Union and Echo.* "It marks the end of an era of secularism in religion and liberalism in political economy. Its white-hot branding iron is drawing new political lines across the face of the earth and its engines of destruction are leveling old empires and alignments.

"Will the Western Hemisphere escape its fury? A thousand ties bind us to the European world now collapsing—ties far more powerful than "fifth columns" and "Trojan horses." Our American secularism is born of the same unholy alliance of the 16th century, and our liberalism has outstripped its European forebears. The same internationalism in finance and commerce tyrannizes over us here as in Europe.

"Is it necessary that we, too, be refined of these impurities in the fires of modern total war? We can play a part in world reconstruction if we refrain from destroying our resources for generations to come in this European holocaust.

"But merely refraining from a suicidal participation in this war is not sufficient. We must be prepared to lead a prostrate world gradually to a sane and Christian order of co-operation and corporatism. Nothing can prevent the attempt to re-establish liberalism except a definite plan and program of Christian reconstruction."

Faith in America

"IT IS NOT always easy to understand that if we are to defend America we have to defend her despite her defects," counsels the *New York Herald Tribune.* "We may be obliged loyally to carry out policies with which we disagree, or follow leaders we would prefer to see displaced; to subordinate our private wishes, to defer our projects for reform. None of this is easy. But, as we start voting billions for defense, we must realize that even billions will not buy a nickel's worth of safety unless we believe that there is 'an American case' and that it is a case worth fighting for and dying for."

In the midst of all this hysteria and panic created by war mongering politicians and munitioneers, we must keep our confidence in America. We must believe in what America can become even when we despair of what, at times, America is. Too often, perhaps, we look at the record of failure to remedy undesirable conditions, and incline to view the whole nation as hopeless. It is the bungling of politically selfish men, not the principles of this great Republic, that have failed.

"Nobody ever claimed for democracy that it necessarily meant good government, but only that it meant self-government," writes Oswald Garrison Villard, the liberal editor, who continues: "It has always been the prerogative of democracy to stumble and to err, to blunder and often to waste. But this has always been held to be at its worst something far finer and much better for all humanity than an efficient dictatorship . . .

"The American theory," continues Mr. Villard, "is that the wisdom necessary to conduct a government for millions of people is not to be found in a single individual, and particularly if that individual is not the choice of the whole people, but self-appointed and maintained in office by bayonets and the headsman's ax."

And Mr. Walter Lippman adds: "Democracy was founded by men who had conviction that there is truth and that there is good and evil; it was never meant for men who reject this view of life. And in so far—and it is now very far—as the democracies have fallen into disbelief and unfaith, they are in a desperately dangerous muddle about everything that matters most to themselves and their children . . .

"It has been demonstrated . . . that great democracies cannot live in the same world with great dictatorships."

SOCIAL JUSTICE, National Weekly, Copyrighted 1940 by the Social Justice Publishing Company; publication and editorial office, Royal Oak, Michigan. Subscription price, United States and possessions, $3.00 per year. Unless otherwise directed, all subscriptions begin with the current issue. Three weeks are required to effect change of subscriber's address. Give both old and new addresses. Entered as second class matter, March 12, 1937, at the Post Office, Royal Oak, Michigan, under the Act of March 3, 1879. E. Perrin Schwartz, Editor; Cora Quinlan, Secretary-Treasurer.

SOCIAL JUSTICE is fully protected by copyright and nothing that appears in it may be reprinted, either wholly or in part, without special permission. The use of articles or quotations from SOCIAL JUSTICE for digest, advertising, promotions and stock-selling schemes is never authorized.

Vol. 5A June 24, 1940 No. 26

Index to Comment

The Charlottesville Speech; a Parallel; Ouster ..... *Page 3*

Prospect; Gain and Loss; Mr. Roosevelt and Force; Dagger in the Back ..... *Page 4*

Again — John Bull; Defeat; Realities ..... *Page 5*

A Damnable Boast; Frederic R. Coudert; Joe and Adolf; Father Gillis ..... *Page 6*

Hitler Over America; Ethel Watters; Torpedoed; H. L. Mencken *Page 7*

Pete Likes Picnic

HELLO FOLKS:

How are y'? I hope y're feelin' peppier'n I am. Golly, I don't know what's got inta me these past few days. I haven't had enuf ambishun t' move — guess maybe it's th' wether.

I did stir myself enuf t' go to visut Pal one day las' week 'n was that a brake f'r me. Boy, I was jus' in time f'r an invitashun t' a piknik th' girls at th' offus were havin' durin' their lunch hour. I'm tellin' you we shure had a swell time—I'm all f'r more pikniks.

Pal 'n I went around t' all th' tabuls beggin', 'n we did all right f'r ourselves. Sanwitches 'n cake is what we went f'r in a big way. We let th' rest uv 'em have th' p'tata salad 'n pikuls 'n eggs 'n th' other stuff that goes t' make up a piknik lunch.

Ev'rybuddy ate till they could hardly move. We were jus' settlin' down t' sit in solid kumfert when it upped 'n rained all uv a sudden. Wouldn't y' know somethin' like that'd happen? So ev'rybuddy got a hussul on 'n picked up th' remains uv th' lunch 'n scurried inside 'n uv course, that brought an end t' all th' fun 'n by th' time th' place was cleaned up it was time t' go t' work f'r th' afternoon. If th' rest uv 'em felt as stuffed's I did they didn't feel much like workin'—I went home 'n fell fast asleep.

* * *

Flock of Planes
Send Pete Under Bed

I was dreemin' away havin' a swell time when all uv a sudden I was awakened by a lot uv noise that seemed t' be right over me. Golly, I jumped up like's if I'd seen Pal swipin' one uv my bones I keep in my speshul buryin' ground f'r that "rainy" day y' hear 'bout. I looked all 'round me 'n made a dash f'r th' house with my tail between my legs, I'm 'shamed t' say—but y' can't blame me f'r bein' skared—y'da been too, I bet, if y'd seen these great big things —bigger'n enny uv th' crows 'n birds I've seen in my backyard—'n they were flyin' so low 'n makin' such a roar 'n racket I thought my eardrums'd break. I thought Mrs. Coughlin'd never get around t' openin' th' door t' let me in! Well, she finally did 'n I skooted right upstairs 'n hid under her bed 'n shivered 'n shook till that awful sound passed away. I then crawled out 's weak's a bran' new kitten 'n wobbuled downstairs t' fin' out from Mother what those big things were. She stood there laffin' at me 'n said what a baby I was 'n that I'd hafta get used t' th' noise 'cause I'd be hearin' a lot uv it now, on account uv th' "new defense plan." She said they're trainin' th' men t' fly airplanes 'n that we're not far from a trainin' field 'r somethin', 'n that's why they flew over our house 'n they hadda fly low on account uv th' cloudy day. Well, after it was all 'splaned t' me I felt awful releeved 'n now when they fly over I don't mind a bit.

* * *

You Did Fine With
New Subscriptions

Well, folks, I guess I'd better be on my way now. I have an appointmunt with Mr. Coughlin — he's gonna make me beytootiful! Boy! He's gotta job on his hands. 'Fore I go, though, I wanna remind y' that SOCIAL JUSTICE is dependin' on y' f'r a new subskripshun this week. Y' did fine last week—keep up th' good work.

S'long!

—Pete

If you do not like the contents of 'Social Justice' Magazine please cancel your subscription: If you do approve of it, get one new subscription this week

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

The Charlottesville Speech

PRESIDENT ROOSEVELT'S Virginia address, first, inspired the American people as no other presidential utterance has done; and, second, has caused them more consternation than all previous presidential pronouncements since 1933.

Incidentally, this speech has given cause to the supporters of the Roosevelt *Neutrality Act* to mistrust their smug judgments.

Before commenting upon Mr. Roosevelt's war-mongering words, it may be expressed as our opinion that the present European war would not have taken place this year, or next year, unless Messrs. Chamberlain and Daladier had been given some assurance from Washington to take the fatal step which is now resulting in the dissolution of France and the betrayal of England.

As events have proved, Great Britain, France and the United States were unprepared for war—unprepared mechanically, militarily and politically.

And, as was generally known even by privateers, Germany was well prepared for war.

Be that as it may, the whole sordid chapter has been punctuated by a dud which fell harmlessly upon the campus at Charlottesville, Virginia, amidst the wild applause of air-conditioned students, glad to catch a glimpse of a President and happy at the prospect of holidays.

These husky Virginians forgot that death does not take a holiday, especially when untrained hands applaud a decision which virtually destines the youth of America for cannon fodder five to eight years hence.

Coincidentally, the student body attending the Michigan State College at East Lansing were circulating a petition to keep America out of war and war out of America. And 3,800 signatures were attached to it on that campus!

Well, as a result of the President's Virginia speech, Rooseveltian neutrality has fluttered to the same level as the Wilsonian "he-kept-us-out-of-war" propaganda.

All material aid possible will be extended to the Allies, if Mr. Roosevelt has his way. And do not forget that flesh and blood is nothing more than material.

This promise was made (or was it a threat?) without having consulted Congress, in which body rests the power to declare war.

The Charlottesville speech exhibited nothing more than official contempt for both the *Constitution* and the Congress in having made the commitments contained within it.

Moreover, it manifested contempt for the rulers of the 21 South American Republics and those of Central America when the President of the United States assumed responsibility to speak for all of them.

Quickly, however, President Getulio Vargas, speaking from Rio de Janeiro, let it be known to the world that he was capable of speaking for himself and for his country in a vein that ran counter to Mr. Roosevelt's commitments.

Those who have been close to the incumbent of the White House are well aware that from the outset he carried a chip on his shoulder for Germany and a purse in his pocket for Britain.

His attempts to involve us in the League of Nations, to cushion the fall of the French franc and the British pound sterling, to transfer American dollars for South African gold, to nourish English commerce and starve German trade—these were harbingers of the war-mongering speech which culminated this entire tragedy.

Thus, America, which is confessedly lacking in munitions, mechanical devices, warships, airplanes and other items of modern equipment, rushes intemperately into the second-hand, Uncle Shylock business to render this nation, if possible, more unprepared, more lacking in national defense.

Is it possible that our love for the imperialism of Great Britain is willing to sacrifice the republicanism of the United States of America?

Many in the presidential audience caught the most ominous sentence which escaped Mr. Roosevelt's lips. These were his words: *"All roads leading to the accomplishment of these objectives must be kept clear of obstructions."*

He was speaking of extending to the Allies the unrestricted material resources of this nation. He was speaking of his determination to harness and speed up the use of those resources in order that we in the Americas (observe the plural) may have equipment and training equal to the task of any emergency and every defense.

So, no obstruction will be permitted. Useless employment, possibly, will be liquidated. The 40-hour week, possibly, may be wiped out. Journalistic criticism and Congressional opposition to war aims may be classified as fifth columnism.

In fact, democracy itself, which gives the opposition the right to obstruct when, in conscience, the said opposition thinks it should obstruct, may be sacrificed upon the altar of so-called preparedness and help to the Allies—and all this may happen despite what the esteemed orator said in a subsequent paragraph, namely:

"We need not and we will not in any way abandon our continuing effort to make democracy work within our own borders."

That last quotation reminds one of his 1933 promise to drive the money changers out of the temple—a promise that was fulfilled in 1940 by making America the oasis of the world's money changers.

Who knows whether or not Mr. Roosevelt tripped most clumsily in making this hastily prepared speech?

Let's keep America out of war and war out of America!

A Parallel

DURING the first World War America was introduced to prohibition. Believe it or not, it was forbidden to manufacture, sell or transfer spirituous liquors, wines and beers in these United States during "them thar days."

Prohibition or no prohibition, the educated appetites of the American public were satiated through the medium of the bootlegger and the blind pig.

Those were the days when Pete whispered to Pal: "Go on down on 59th Street; turn at the alley; at the third door on the right knock three times and ask for Gus."

The second World War has its parallel. Instead of a *Prohibition Act*, we have a *Neutrality Act*. Its purpose was to keep America sober-minded on the matters of war and peace. It was another form of national prohibition.

However, the Europeans who want their appetites satiated with airplanes, battleships and so forth, copied a page from the past. This time as they walk down to 3100 Massachusetts Avenue in Washington, they meet friend, Lord Lothian. With furtive whisperings he tells them to walk down Pennsylvania Avenue beyond the New Willard Hotel. Directly across the street they will find a big white house with spacious gardens around it. *"Knock three times and ask for Frank."*

History does repeat itself.

Ouster

FOR SEVERAL YEARS the world was waiting to read that a revolution had taken place in Germany; that Adolf Hitler had been dethroned.

Fortunately, or unfortunately, that event did not occur. German forces consisting of Catholic, Protestant and non-religionist amalgamated to produce food, materials and national safety when the entire civilized democratic world was plotting against her.

In 1933 Mr. Roosevelt had as much popularity in the United States as Adolf Hitler has in Germany. Gradually he gained control over Congress. He became dictator of finance, of agriculture and labor. He waged war against the economic royalists and spoke a few well-remembered words about the *Constitution,* intimating that, if it stood in the way of his progress, it should have its head chopped off.

Year by year Mr. Roosevelt's popularity held firm. A few weeks ago it increased when he ventured upon his national defense program. Then his opponents said, "What is the use?"

Now, however, another crucial battle has been fought and lost. History will record its being waged at Charlottesville, Virginia. And history will say that Mr. Roosevelt succeeded in knocking himself out in the fifteenth round, having won the other 14.

Seriously, the American people must get rid of any menace to its *Constitution* and its peace. Otherwise we can look forward to a new military dictatorship and a bloody war within the next eight years.

The mothers of America are conscious of this.

The property-holders of America are conscious of this.

The laboring and farming classes of America are conscious of this.

Watch the tide turn, therefore, against Mr. Roosevelt, dating from Charlottesville, June, 1940. Indeed, it might be a good slogan for the Republican nominee were he to campaign on the principle *"I'll get you out of war!"*

When one analyses the Roosevelt program, its main objective consisted in har-

(Continued on Page 4)

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

(Continued from Page 3)

nessing together the worst abuses of Socialism with the worst abuses of capitalism.

At the eleventh hour, Mr. Roosevelt's entire program came crashing about his ears. He lost the battle for labor. He lost the battle for production. He lost the battle for agriculture. He lost the battle for industry. He lost the battle for foreign diplomacy. And this last battle was the most ominous loss of all.

When this phase of the war will have terminated in Europe not one European nation will have a kind word to say for Mr. Roosevelt or for the United States which he represents. The Italian sanctions and the Spanish embargo already have alienated Mussolini and Franco. Central Europe under Hitler was in the enemy camp a long time ago.

The new French and English governments will blame Mr. Roosevelt for getting them into the war in the first place and for not sending men, money and munitions in the second place.

And Japan is nursing a sore thumb unceremoniously jammed in the White House door.

Mr. Roosevelt's foreign policy reminds one of the man who "jumped backwards on a horse and rode off in every direction at the same time arriving nowhere."

It was the same pattern which he employed for his domestic program—a pattern of alienation, of befuddlement and of disaster.

Let's have an ouster.

' ' '

Prospect

SIX MONTHS at least will be required before the United States can send tanks, munitions and airplanes to the Allies.

There is no need of our sending money or credit because there is no place in the wide world, practically speaking, where the Allies could spend the money advantageously.

Within six months Europe will be totalitarianized.

England will have been abandoned by Great Britain — at least for the time being.

Asia too, if not totalitarianized, will be anti-American because America holds most of the world's gold; houses most of the international bankers; and insists that the Orient will trade with the United States on the gold controlled by these internationalists through Mr. Morgenthau, Jr.

As foreseen in previous editions, the British government will move to Canada.

The United States and Great Britain then can consider themselves sandwiched between Asia and the new Europe.

Because of the belligerent foreign policy which has marked the course of the present Administration at Washington, we have one of two choices to make: Either, continuing the present foreign policy, to prepare for a long, devastating war; or, changing our foreign policy, to learn how to get along with the totalitarians abroad by getting rid of our private money control based on debt and gold.

Everyone recognizes that it is difficult to change Santa Clauses in the middle of a chimney. Nevertheless, this difficulty must be faced and overcome this November if we hope to avoid an unnecessary war that will be fought in Tacoma, Seattle, San Francisco and Los Angeles; in Boston, New York, Philadelphia and Baltimore; in Pittsburgh, St. Louis, Cleveland and Detroit.

The totalitarianism of Hitler proved that within 7 years Germany could produce more efficient war material than could Britain and France combined—and without the aid of gold.

We recognize that if there needs be war, democratic procedures must be moth-balled and totalitarian patterns must be followed.

It is a question if America and the remnants of Britain and France can produce more war materials in the next 4 or 5 years than can Asia and Europe combined under the leadership of Messrs. Hitler, Mussolini, Franco, Salazar and their juniors.

Contemplate the progress about to be made in airplane designing and manufacturing. Within 5 years airplanes carrying cargoes of 20 tons or more will be as common in Europe as cows are in a pasture. In fact, flocks of airplanes threaten to outmode slow-traveling steamships.

Shall we Americans, therefore, persist in maintaining our present foreign policy of hostility towards Germany to sustain the abuses of financial capitalism and the shortcomings of a bogus democracy, over which pontificates the same type of politician who is more concerned for his party and his ancestral race than for his country and his fellow citizens?

Let's keep America out of war and war out of America!

' ' '

Gain and Loss

BEFORE Great Britain and France declared war against Germany, the English pound sterling dominated the markets and financial institutions of Europe. Probably $30-billion worth of continental credit depended upon the notes issued by the Bank of England.

Within six months this $30-billion has been dissipated. For it there has been substituted the German Reichsmark.

Many persons overlook this financial victory already won by Adolf Hitler.

And, as a matter of fact, the pound sterling is more honored by its absence than by its presence in Asia.

In fact, the international bankers will no longer be international. Within six months their activities will be restricted to the confines of North America.

Thus, any person interested in zoological or biological studies will have the advantage of watching the snake's head eat its own tail.

All of which reminds one of a story of two orientals shipwrecked on a lonely Pacific island. They were Abe and Mose, who, in their homeland, had been very prosperous as a result of trading on other persons.

Having divided the few cans of food saved from the shipwreck, they turned their eyes to business.

The pressing and cleaning business was out because there were no clothes.

The moving picture business was out because they had no films.

The junk business was not even considered because there was no junk—yet.

And the banking business just could not get started.

So Abe and Mose each set up a barber shop, hoping to make money off each other by cutting each other's hair.

The barber business was not very successful because there were only two of them in it and only two customers. As a result they ended up by cutting each other's throats.

We wonder what will happen in the United States now that we have all the "barbers" and all the gold and no third party to deal with.

Mr. Roosevelt and 'Force'

ACCORDING to his Virginia pronouncement, Mr. Roosevelt loathes those nations who acquire their ends through force.

With bell, book and candle, he ex-communicates the heretics of force from the sanctuary of America.

With them we may not consort.

Against them we must rise up in wrath and spend our last penny, our last dollar, to throw them by the seat of the pants into the mid-Atlantic.

Come now, Mr. President! How did Great Britain acquire her empire? By oratory—such as yours?

How did the French Republic acquire its empire? By writing fiction of the sort we Americans have grown accustomed to hear from politicians?

How did we Americans acquire Cuba and the Philippines?

How did we obtain our independence, in the first place, and maintain our position in 1865 in the second place?

Come now, Mr. President! From a practical purpose the determining virtue behind international law always was, and always will be, the point of a bayonet or the staccato of a machine gun.

Let us not be poetical, fictional, idealistic. Sometimes one suspects that you inject oratorical pronouncements into presidential speeches which do not square with facts and history.

' ' '

Dagger in the Back

DUFF COOPER, the publicity agent for Great Britain, characterized Il Duce Mussolini's declaration of war as a "dagger in the back of France."

Mr. Roosevelt esteemed this metaphor so apt and descriptive that he used it, impromptu, in his Virginia war-mongering speech.

Both Mr. Duff Cooper and Mr. Roosevelt are suffering from short memories.

Following the World War came the *Peace Treaty of Versailles.* Woodrow Wilson, leader of a democratic nation; Clemenceau, leader of a democratic nation; Lord George, leader of a democratic nation—these three were most responsible for the contents of the *Peace Treaty;* and these three conspired to deny Italy the lands and territories promised to her, if Italy would join the Allies against Germany.

That was not a dagger in the back. That was a kick in the face.

Moreover, lest we become blinded by the current hysteria, it was the *Peace Treaty of Versailles* that despoiled Germany, shackled her in servitude, starved her women and children and attempted to make of her a prostitute amongst the nations of the world.

Contrary to current comment, Cavour, Garibaldi, Mazzini, *et al,* did not make Italy a great nation. They expelled God from the schools: they banned the Crucifix; they filled public offices with Orientalists of secret societies and, finally, they imprisoned Piux IX in the Vatican.

These men were assisted in their wicked designs by Lord Palmerston, renowned for his naturalism and for his hatred for Christian supernaturalism.

Socialist though he was, and dictator that he is, Mussolini has done more for Italy in one generation than was accomplished by the Cavours, Garibaldis and Mazzinis of bygone years—and did it for his country at a time when the successors of Lord Palmerston in England were accomplishing little or nothing for the exploited of India and for the English laboring class.

(Continued on Page 5)

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

(Continued from Page 4)

Italy is not indebted to England's statesmanship in the estimation of those who remember Cavour's Italy as a filthy, disorganized, gangster-ridden, down-trodden kingdom famous for its Eternal City and its decadent ruins.

Let no pert columnist endeavor to cover with the lava of his Vesuvian paragraphs the accomplishments of Mussolini who restored God to the schools, the crucifix to the classrooms, and the longed-for liberty to the papacy under conditions less favorable than those which existed in the great democracies.

It was Mussolini who won the battle of the wheat—making Italy self-sustaining in that respect.

It was Mussolini who solved the problem of unemployment though his country's treasury was devoid of gold.

It was Mussolini who fanned to flame the smouldering fires of patriotism through his program of education, organization and multiplication of wealth.

Every student of history should recognize that Duff Cooper's metaphor of "dagger in the back" is no more factual than was his previous statement righteous, when he advertised that Britain's war was not against Hitler but against the German people who must be liquidated.

Surface scholars, whose information does not go beyond the depths of the *World Almanac* or the prejudices of the purblind Abraham Hayward, forget the reprisals which Britain took upon Italy in the days when bigotry rode supreme.

This comment is thought necessary to answer the canard now current in America attempting to tell our people that England was the great benefactor of Italy, particularly under Lord Palmerston.

Let's keep America out of war and war out of America!

' ' '

Again—John Bull

AMERICA was seduced to enter the first World War through British propaganda.

It is said that $100-million was spent—and this is a conservative estimate—to persuade us to fight for Stalin's democracy, Hitler's democracy, John Bull's imperialism, and the millinery Republic of *la belle dame sans merci*. More ruthless than ever, John Bull, who seldom fought his own battles but who generally rode to victory on the scars and wounds of others, is at it again.

Senator Burton K. Wheeler dropped this incendiary bomb on the floor of the United States Senate. He called for an investigation into "all this propaganda, Nazi, Communist and British, charging that Wall Street was supporting the President in his pro-Ally policy to protect its interests abroad."

Supporting him were Senators Rush Holt of West Virginia and Ernest Lundeen of Minnesota. The latter informed the Senate during the hectic debate that British propagandists have a fund of $165-million to use in getting the United States into this war.

For your information, there is a considerable *bloc* in the United States Senate more concerned with salvaging the ruins of Great Britain than they are in furthering the prosperity of the United States.

The voters of America—and the patriots, too—should take "measures just short of war" to oust these time-serving, imperialistic-minded, boot-licking goons of John Bull who misrepresent the people of the United States.

Senator Wheeler is one who is courageous enough to say he will bolt the Democratic Party rather than support its nominee for the presidency if the Democratic Party becomes the war party. And he meant the John Bull Party.

Millions of Americans applaud this fearless Westerner and join with him in his resolution.

He has voiced the pent-up thoughts and feelings in the hearts of the mothers, the laborers, the farmers, the miners, and the youth of America.

As for Ernest Lundeen, of Scandinavian ancestry, he has gone on record that he will have no part in this war-mongering being poured upon us from presidential lips. With him we join hands.

All of this impels us to ask the question, "Who wants war in America?"

Ask those who tried to bestir this country to frenzied passion when a fine of $400-million was imposed upon certain racialists resident in Germany in 1938.

Ask those who, though consistently opposing Naziism, continued to entertain clandestine relations with Communism.

Ask those who have blood-sucked America through their control of international gold.

Ask those responsible for the statements of Cromwell at Ottawa, of Bullitt at Paris—

Defeat

A REPUBLICAN visitor to our office wrote the following litany of names, indicating those who will be opposed to Mr. Roosevelt, thereby insuring his defeat:

1. Farmers
2. Laborers
3. Germans
4. Italians
5. Irish
6. Republicans
7. Anti-third termers
8. Jeffersonian Democrats
9. Marxists
10. Industrialists
11. Isolationists
12. Americans
13. General Hugh Johnson

And thirteen is an unlucky number!

statements unconstitutionally committing the American people to an un-American plan.

Ask those who perverted our democracy until it became a festering sore upon the body politic, remarkable more for its dictatorial tendencies than for its republican practices.

The hour has struck when, despite the possibility of being investigated by the F.B.I., the decent elements of this country will organize in groups to hold peace rallies at which the people's anti-war spirit can be manifested.

Last week you were asked to be responsible for ten letters to your Congressmen.

Have you co-operated? If not, are you content to sit down idly while our disappointing leaders are arranging to involve us in a war of aggression?

Be not content to read these lines. Now is the time for *action!*

Were Father Coughlin able to speak what he would want to speak over the airways of America, he would urge you to do these things; he would appeal to you to bare your breasts to the criticism and your backs to the lashes of John Bull's "goons" parading in our midst under the protection of the American flag.

These are the same goons who have available for their unconscionable designs the power of the United States Treasury, the power of the United States Department of Justice, the power of a partially subservient press, the power of a racially controlled radio, the power of an orientalized silver screen, and the power of credits and loans through which they enforce a policy of "hush-hush" on those who should be speaking.

The people of America have been consistently fooled by the political goon squads long enough.

Is there not some group of fearless men and women in every locality where this message is read to rise as did our foreparents in 1776?

Cast criminal prudence aside.

Stand for liberty now—cost what it may!

Let's keep America out of war and war out of America!

' ' '

Realities

WILLIAM ALLEN WHITE is a renowned editor but no realist. His "*Committee to Defend America by Aiding the Allies*" says the United States is "still the most powerful nation on earth."

Potentially, we are the most powerful. Realistically, we are not.

We have an army smaller than Switzerland's.

We have a one-ocean navy, now that Great Britain's is not available.

We have an isolated money system.

We have a shop-worn democracy.

We have a foreign-dominated government.

We have an over-burdening debt.

We have compromising leaders.

We are so unprepared and so weak in our government, that we now find it necessary to modernize our thinking and our national planning to cope with the European menace that was brought into existence by the democratic representatives of Britain, France and America at the peace table of Versailles.

Our present job is production for defensive war, not participation in offensive war.

Our present business is neither to meddle nor muddle but to take care of ourselves.

Our present problem is to organize for service to our country rather than for service to the Allies.

On all sides, we hear the theory of isolation damned.

The reality is that the world has isolated America, thanks to its biased, pathetic and blustering foreign policy sponsored by Mr. Roosevelt.

What America needs today more than anything else is a bloodless revolution against the internationalists, Communists, Nazis and politicians who have made us what we are—unprepared.

Let us be realistic—not dreamers.

Let us be Americans—not New Dealers.

Let us be citizens—not appendages tied to the abbreviated lion's tail.

Let us be patriots — and proclaim our patriotism boldly and, if necessary, fiercely, in public and in private, despite the tons of propaganda crushing our spirit!

Let us keep America out of war and war out of America!

(Continued on Page 6)

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

More British Than Britain

HAVING CANVASSED both Houses of Congress for votes to support him in a declaration of war, President Roosevelt learned definitely that his hopes to have the United States send our gold and men abroad are absolutely out.

One prominent United States Senator informs SOCIAL JUSTICE that the President "could not command a corporal's guard in Congress" to carry out his desires.

By this time, Mr. Roosevelt is most anxious to erase from the public attention his rash words spoken at Charlottesville, Virginia.

President Roosevelt, in his desire to help the Allies by every means short of war—if not actual war itself—is likely to find himself more British than Great Britain.

The Chief Executive of the United States has announced that he will not recognize the conquests of Nazi Germany. What will be the President's position when, and if, the English and French do recognize them?

Who, in the eyes of the rest of the world, does the American President think he is? Asserting a *Monroe Doctrine* as our policy for this hemisphere, he refuses to accept Europe's "Monroe Doctrine" of a Europe for Europeans.

The presidential pronouncements smell like the caviar of world lordship!

Let's keep America out of war and war out of America!

' ' '

A Damnable Boast

ON PAGE 117 of the book *"Putting It Over"* we read a British boast which should make our blood boil.

Referring to the first World War these words and paragraphs are used:

"Regarding the extent of British propaganda in the United States, this declaration attributed to the late Lord Northcliffe has great significance.

" 'England spent $150-million for propaganda purposes in the United States during the war, and I consider that money spent to greater advantage than any equal amount during the entire conflict.'

"Lord Northcliffe's special diplomatic mission to this country after the United States declared war against Germany was organized solely for the purposes of publicity. Headquarters were established in New York. Arrangements were made to supply the American press with daily news dispatches. It was admitted in the House of Commons that Northcliffe's paid publicity agents in the United States numbered some 4,500.

"Colonel Hammersley, member of the House of Commons during the session, November, 1918, said, in part: 'To my mind, if an end is put to the Ministry of Information, and if its activities are withdrawn from America, it will be nothing short of a calamity to this country.'

"Facts also show that the British Government subsidized its own news agencies to disseminate official war propaganda, paying Reuter's agency 126,000 pounds for services covering a certain period of war.

"Men with high financial and business connections were employed as directors of propaganda in the various belligerent and neutral countries. The prestige of this action gained wide circles of adherents to the British war policy. The British Government put over victory through the power of propaganda."

If the John Bull propaganda and fifth columnists in this nation are not conspiring against the peace of America then there is no Potomac River and no presidential chair.

If the Department of Justice, through the F.B.I., has had the effrontery to lend itself to Walter Winchell's proposals to attack the Christian Fronters who were organized to save America, what, in the name of God, is preventing the Department of Justice from ferreting out the British fifth columnists and then hanging them legally from the Washington Monument?

If $150-million was admittedly spent to make Americans war minded; and $165-million more is available now; how much would British interests spend on a political election to insure a pro-British President?

' ' '

Frederic R. Coudert

THE NAME of this person is unknown to the majority of Americans. Seldom has it appeared in press reports. Like thieves who operate under the cover of night, there are in our midst those who operate beneath the cloak of protected auspices to steal our liberty, our peace and our autonomy.

Frederic R. Coudert is one of these.

"The Committee to Defend America by Aiding the Allies" is a high-sounding name composed of high-handed gentlemen who are leaving no stone unturned to throw everything precious to an American to the dogs of war.

Frederic R. Coudert organized this Committee. Sneakingly, subversively, and un-Americanly hiding behind a sanctimonious stuffed shirt named William Allen White, these men form the most dangerous fifth column that ever set foot upon neutral soil. They are the Queslings of America. They are the Judas Iscariots within the apostolic college of our nation.

They are the gold-protected, Government protected, foreign-protected snakes in the grass who dare not stand upright and speak like men face to face.

Eighteen prominent bankers met secretly on the 29th day of April in New York to set up this Committee.

They were called together by Frederic R. Coudert, the legal adviser of the British Embassy and one who helped lead us into the last World War.

This war is being fought by those who control the private banking system; by those who control the people; by the worst elements of Wall Street—and for the benefit of the worst elements in Wall Street. Mark well the name of Frederic R. Coudert, the legal adviser of the British Embassy, paid hireling of John Bull.

And associate with his name the 1914-18 propaganda; that of Thomas W. Lamont, partner of J. Pierpont Morgan; that of Henry L. Stimson, former "I-kept-them-out-of-war politician;" that of Lewis Douglas, former president of a Canadian university; that of Frank Polk of World War propaganda fame; that of Philip Marshall Brown.

"Cave canem"—"beware of the dog"—was an old Latin watchword.

"Cave leonem"—"beware of the lion"—should be our American watchword.

Beware of the British lion who "goeth about roaring, seeking whom he may devour."

And Mr. Coudert's catspaw is none other than William Allen White.

Just how much money is behind the catspaw and Mr. Coudert is not known.

How much damage they can accomplish if we do not oppose them fearlessly and forcefully will never be known.

What do you plan to do about them?

Joe and Adolph
Sam and John

THE WORLD was startled when Josef Stalin and Adolf Hitler joined hands and hearts to campaign against capitalism.

The world was not startled when Uncle Sam and John Bull advertised to the world by their actions, if not by their words, that they had arranged a similar union against anti-capitalism.

It is suggested that a new theme song be adopted by this foursome.

SOCIAL JUSTICE pleads for the following, entitled *"Playmates"*:

"Look down my rain barrel,
Slide down my cellar door,
And we'll be jolly friends forever more . . ."

' ' '

Father Gillis, C. S. P.

ADDRESSING the graduates at the University of Detroit, Father Gillis, brilliant editor, emphasized the danger of fifth columnism.

No hackneyed words escaped from his lips. He warned his audience to beware of the dangerous fifth columnists in universities, on campuses and in prexy chairs. He pointed out that the philosophy of Immanuel Kant, which inspired Hitler, was accepted in too many quarters as the philosophy to be dispensed in our seats of learning.

Thousands of fifth columnists have been ground out of the mills of our universities. They call themselves Americans. They profess Christianity. Really they believe in the same principles in which Hitler believes.

' ' '

From the West

IN THE *Daily Mail* of Salida, Colorado, we read in its May 21st edition the following startling intelligence:

"England financed Hitler, furnished him with war supplies even while he was crushing Austria and Czechoslovakia. And now we are supposed to finance England in its war with Hitler. Barnum was right. The American people love to be fooled—but not that badly, we hope."

And from the *Standard* of Butte, Montana, of May 18th, we obtain the following comment:

"For the first time since the founding of this nation its living standard is slipping, economists say.

"Previously, for more than 160 years, living conditions of the people of this country have been on the upgrade.

"Looking at some figures dug up by economists we believe we see the reason. In 1929, the per capita income was $668 and per capita retail sales were $404. The per capita income for 1939 was $519 while the per capita retail sales were $283.

"By comparison, the people in 1929 spent much more for retail goods than they did in 1939. Where did the remainder of this income go? The obvious answer is that it went for taxes. The tax on the average earned dollar today is between 25 and 30 cents; in 1929 the tax on the average earned dollar was around 12 or 15 cents."

No comment necessary!

(Continued on Page 7)

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Comment

(Continued from Page 6)

Hitler Over America

IT IS TIME to begin thinking about the future—our future.

It is time to begin thinking about Hitler over America.

For seven years we have had *"Gabriel Over the White House."*

In seven years we are liable to have Hitler over the Andes.

And how does one arrive at that suspicion?

Before going South of the Panama Canal, let us take a look North of the Great Lakes and the St. Lawrence. Economists tell us that Canada lives off her exports more than any other nation in the world. Her beef, wheat, pork, wool, apples, gold, silver and other commodities were assimilated by the British in London for years gone by.

Now, with England soon to be betrayed by Britain; with England, possibly, becoming a part of the new German juggernaut, what will Canada do?

The answer is that she will either have economic parity with the United States on Uncle Sam's terms; or make peace with Germany on Hitler's terms; or strike out for herself to become a competitor with Uncle Sam in the field of mass production on her own terms.

Canada will require more than 10-million population to be a good competitor if she chooses the last way out. Canada will have to open her arms to 15- or 20-million Englishmen who, with the French, are the world's worst mass productionists.

The past seven years gives proof to that last statement.

From the above considerations one may glean a few premises from which to conclude what the defeat will mean for Great Britain, for Canada and for the United States.

And South of the Panama Canal we have at least 21 Republics.

Chile, on the West Coast, and Brazil and Argentine on the East Coast, are already more "Nazified" than most of us Americans realize. They are the three chief Republics of South America; and Hitlerism will dominate their spheres of activity.

Hitler already has affected American ways of thinking. We have become jittery. We realize that democratic processes cannot cope with those operated by the dictators. Only a few of us seem to have arrived at the conclusion that capitalism has no more chance of keeping up with Germany's money system than has a Model T with an airplane.

We know that Germany has spent approximately $100-billion in seven years to prepare her mechanized forces, against approximately $15- to $20-billion spent by the Allies.

We know that in the next five years Germany will spend the equivalent of $500-billion to make the internationalists and Mr. Roosevelt swallow their words.

Now, capitalism cannot exist and expend $500-billion in this country in the next five years. Who would pay the taxes?

Why, there would be a revolution here if an 80 per cent tax were to be put on all pay envelopes!

Moreover, the internationalists who control the money system in Wall Street, and who live on Park Avenue, have reason to be jittery. In fact, one surmises that when they retire at night, the lights dance in swastika-fashion before their eyes.

But back to South America: For the United States to defend Latin America successfully against the Hitleresque invasion which, probably, will come within five years, we will need a Navy three times as powerful as the one we now have; an air fleet twenty times as powerful as the one we now contemplate having; an army of 1-million transportable men; and a Government capable of organizing the country with a mechanized force costing anywhere from $50-billion to $100-billion. The total cost would represent something around $500-billion.

It is just preposterous to think of this predicated upon a gold system and a debt system.

On the other hand, if we junk the gold system and the debt system of money; if we put the internationalists in their place and submarine their false philosophy, there can be an era of peace.

Probably, however, the internationalists, who were never much on the fighting line, will decree that we Americans go to war and fight until the last farmer and laborer will have been shot.

Ethel Watters

APPEARING on a national radio program over the Columbia System, Ethel Watters, colored songstress and top-ranking dramatist, electrified her audience with narrative and spiritual.

Hers was a lonely life as a child; she was Mamba's daughter in reality—so she informed the audience.

When the Master of Ceremonies asked her to sing a popular number she responded — probably to his chagrin—with the most glorious rendition of "The Crucifixion." Sang she:

"They crucified my Lord,
And He never said a mumblin' word.
They nailed Him to a tree;
He bowed His head and died,
And He never said a mumblin' word,
Not a word, not a word,
Not a mumblin' word."

Call it a Negro spiritual, if you please. Here is the point: This Negro lady, fearless of criticism, contributed to the entertainment of the American public what white Catholic actors and actresses fear to do—fear because of their Hollywood masters.

It was very easy to say an act of contrition for all one's misdeeds, having heard Ethel Watters sing a sermon more eloquent than could have been preached by many a missionary father.

Torpedoed

THE TREASURY DEPARTMENT (not the Navy) has torpedoed the War Department, strange as it may seem.

Mr. Harry Woodring, Secretary of War, reached the conclusion that it was illegal for the United States, even by bootlegging, to sell military and naval equipment owned by our Government to the Allies or to any other belligerent power.

Henry Morgenthau, the turnkey of Fort Knox, found no solace in Mr. Woodring's decision.

As a result, through artful dodging and legal twisting he and Mr. Roosevelt found a loophole to sell our surplus ordnance (of which there is none) to their boy friends, the internationalists, in Britain and France.

All this is water over the dam. It is important only to show just where Messrs. Roosevelt and Morgenthau's hearts are located.

Their "buddies" are of the opinion that they have hearts of gold. What is your opinion?

With apologies to Bobby Burns, we suggest that Messrs. Roosevelt, Morgenthau, Baruch and Lothian form a quartet to sing the following ditty:

Our hearts are in London,
Our hearts are not here;
Our hearts are in London,
With Norman, old dear.

Kissing the Rothschilds,
And following the "dough,"
Our hearts are in London,
Wherever we go!

' ' '

H. L. Mencken

THE PUNGENT, powerful, patriotic pen of H. L. Mencken recently contributed an article to the *Baltimore Sun* entitled "Heating Up the Heroes."

Of the present war hysteria sweeping this country, he said:

"What we face is an almost classical example, downright beautiful in its proportions, of demagogy in action. First raise up a bugaboo to terrify the boobs, and then proceed to put it down with loud shrieks and objurgations, at the same time hustling the boobs into a prepared pen . . . that, precisely, is what the Hon. Mr. Roosevelt and his associated fire-alarms are doing today . . .

"First, an effort is being made to convince the country that the Archfiend Hitler, if, when and as he wrecks the stews of Paris and the prayer-cellars of Holy England, will fling his insatiable goons upon the United States and, as the Hon. Mr. Roosevelt forecast in his radio croon of May 16, proceed to bomb Omaha.

"Second, it is taught officially that not only the United States but also all the other American countries, are infested by hundreds of thousands of Nazi agents, who will leap out of hiding the instant Omaha is bombed, and blow up every bridge, airport, railway junction, orphan asylum, waterworks, hospital, Y.M.C.A., radio croonery, and other public utility from Hudson Bay to Tierra del Fuego . . .

"Both these propositions are heady stuff, and well calculated to alarm a moral and credulous people . . . It is hard to believe that Hitler, having been forced to seize Holland and Belgium in order to get near enough to tackle England, will be able to leap facilely to Omaha, or even to New York, which is exactly 192 times as far from his nearest flying-field as the English coast . . .

"All this talk of bombings and invasions is grounded unpleasantly upon the assumption . . . that the Americans are a supine and pusillanimous people . . .

"What really upsets Mr. Roosevelt and the rest of the Ersatz Englishmen is not the remote and infinitesimal possibility that Omaha may be bombed, but the high probability, amounting almost to certainty, that London will be bombed. In brief, they sweat for England first, leaving the United States for future consideration."

Mr. Mencken ends his article by saying:

"Roosevelt is no more a Liberal than I am an archbishop. It is the first article of his creed that anyone who opposes him, however academically, is infamous . . ."

Considering the above quotation, together with the President's recent pronouncement before a group of Virginia graduates, it becomes more and more evident that the President performs better sitting before a fireside microphone than on a public platform. When speaking from the latter, he suddenly becomes allergic to applause.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin
Published by The Social Justice Publishing Co.

16 PRINCIPLES OF SOCIAL JUSTICE

Liberty of conscience and education.

Just living annual wage.

Nationalization of important public resources.

Private ownership of all other property.

Control of private property for public good.

Abolition of Federal Reserve Banking System and establishment of a Government-owned Central Bank.

Restoration to Congress of its sole right to coin and regulate the value of money.

Cost of living maintained on an even keel.

Cost of production plus a fair profit for the farmer.

Labor's right to organize.

Recall of non-productive bonds.

Abolition of tax-exempt bonds.

Broadened base of taxation on basis of ownership and capacity to pay.

Simplification of Government and lower taxes.

Conscription of wealth as well as men in event of war.

Sanctity of human rights preferred to sanctity of property with Government's chief concern for the poor.

Vindictive F.D.R.

THOSE who listened to the Charlottesville speech of the President could hear more than readers could read. There was a definite tone of vindictiveness woven throughout the discourse.

Upon analysis this fact stands clear: Mr. Roosevelt's foreign policy is as unsuccessful as was his labor policy, his agricultural policy and his financial policy. In fact it has proven to be his greatest failure.

Because he could not make the sun stand still, he permitted himself to issue veiled threats against Adolf Hitler and the German people.

Now that his foreign policy has failed, he resorts to force; to reprisals and to war.

Was it or wasn't it "force" when Mr. Roosevelt excluded Germany from the benefits of our foreign trade, going out of his way by devious means to include Britain and her Allies?

Leave it to a vindictive man to commit errors. Forgetting the *Constitution of the United States* which gives Congress the power to declare war, the President virtually has declared war.

Forgetting his former pronouncements to the effect that America was in sad need of military equipment, he contradicted himself by saying we would send "surpluses" to the Allies—when there are no surpluses.

Disregarding the spirit of the *Johnson Act* and the clauses of the *Neutrality Act*, he decided to engage in the bootlegging business, bootlegging of cannon, airplanes and ships.

All things must be dissolved to satisfy an unsatiable vindictiveness.

And when the Honorable President calls Great Britain and France the "opponents of force," one would think that Walter Winchell or Harpo Marx were the only two brain trusters which he had in his vicinity; for only such gag men could have sold him that international joke.

But his prompters forget that it was Great Britain and France who declared war on Germany—those sweet children opposed to force.

What about the policy of encirclement — the starved women and children?

What about 10,000 other incidents—including Duff Cooper's speech, in which it was said the war was not only against Hitler but against the German people who must be liquidated and never permitted to have weapons again?

In our estimation Charlie McCarthy would have proved to be a better brain truster. At least his Pinnochio head would not have uttered the absurdities which become magnified in the mouth of a President.

Meanwhile, "stabbed in the back" has become the new high-water mark of American oratory. As a matter of fact, the American people are being stabbed in the back; so is the *Constitution*, so is Congress. And we are just about ready to ask ourselves who is doing the stabbing by getting us into a war unconstitutionally, unrighteously and unprepared.

Reynaud Spills It

"THE MESSAGE of Premier Paul Reynaud to President Roosevelt contained the evidence establishing beyond a doubt that the latter *on his personal initiative* has placed this country in the position of a 'non-belligerent' ally of France.

"The message expressed 'deep gratitude for the generous aid you have decided to accord us in aviation, material and armaments.' It also thanked the President for having 'generously replied' to an appeal for aid which the Premier had sent 'some days ago' and asked further aid by 'all means save the dispatch of an expeditionary force.'

". . . Such an act could not be neutral, but was an act of war, committing this country to the fortunes of war. It was done without the knowledge of the people of the United States and without the consent of Congress . . . "

* * *

Those are strong words. They are not ours. They are paragraphs from an editorial in the conservative *Detroit News*. They might be duplicated in a dozen of the largest newspapers of the nation.

Last week, considerably in advance of all the additional confirmation, this National Magazine said boldly that the dispatch of Army and Navy equipment to the Allies, under the subterfuge of its return to the makers as "surplus" and "obsolete," had put us in the war and invited the enemies of the Allies to exact reprisals upon America.

Now, Premier Reynaud's gratitude to the President is the tip-off.

What did the President promise?

Isolation

FOLLOWING this war no nation in Europe, America or Asia can subscribe to the policy of isolationism.

Economic necessity will demand commercial relationships so close as to influence and affect internal policies.

No longer can the United States, particularly, be regarded as an isolationist. With Britain's capital situated at Ottawa, such a policy would be impossible, if we continue to accept the theories of the *Monroe Doctrine*.

Strange, isn't it? The *Monroe Doctrine* was simply a doctrine expressed by a President of the United States. It is not a law ratified by Congress.

That "doctrine" becomes more important today than the *Constitution of the United States*, than the Washingtonian doctrine of non-intervention, than the *Neutrality Bill* passed by this present Congress.

Therefore, with Britain's coming to Ottawa; with the war lasting 5 to 8 years longer, the *Monroe Doctrine* insists that we get into it over our heads.

Empire Patriotism

SHORT sighted sentimentalists who would rush into war to rescue the hard-pressed Allies, are asking the people of America to do what the colonials of their own empires will not do.

France and England—until yesterday sister "democracies" of ours at least by profession — are old mother lands commanding empires of 500-million people. Why do they need American "mercenaries" when they have this vast reserve of their own to call upon? Where are the colonials? Are we to fight to save empires whose subjects will not fight for them?

Perhaps, nothing is greater proof of the rottenness of the "empire system" than that one single unified, clean-living people, fired by an ideal to liberate the world once and for all from an orientalist gold-debt slave system of finance, can march tireless over nation after nation, and bring two great empires to their knees.

The frank fact is that there is little loyalty to an empire. Under an empire, the fine spirit of national patriotism is subjugated to exploitation. Sound national governments bring benefits to their people; empires exploit them. National governments *give;* empires *take*.

People will die with a religious ardor for ideals of national patriotism: they will not even fight, willingly, for greedy empires.

With every fine regard for the English and England as the mother land of the empire, will the allegiance of Australia and India, for example, move with the imperialists to Canada? Will empire loyalty, such as it is, transfer itself from the English to the Canadians? Will Australia, India, New Zealand and the rest now accept Canada in the place of Mother England? We do not think so.

Rotten Systems

KARL H. VON WIEGAND, foreign correspondent for the Hearst newspapers, quotes Adolf Hitler, in an interview with the German armies in France, as voicing a "Monroe doctrine" for Europe.

"Europe to the Europeans, America to the Americans," was Hitler's theme and the *fuehrer* vigorously disclaimed any German aspirations in the Western Hemisphere.

Now it may be said that Hitler has an argument. If we are to assert the *Monroe Doctrine* as a statement of our foreign policy, and notice to European nations to stay out of the Americas, Europeans surely have the right to demand that America keep out of Europe's wars.

But, regardless of Hitler's disclaimer of "territorial or political interference" in South America, the economic ideologies of the Nazis are already there. Argentine, Brazil and Chile are in a position to examine both the gold-debt system of the internationalists operating in Great Britain and the United States and the Nazi's debt-free barter system without spoils to the financialists. The South American Republics have chosen to prefer the German way.

For example, the United States in undertaking an adequate armament for national defense must issue bonds bearing interest profits to the financialists, with a debt limit of $49-billion under this arrangement. Germany spends $100-billion a year on armaments—will spend the equivalent of $500-billion in the next five years—*without a debt!*

In a sense it may be said that Germany's military machine is not destroying the British and French empires, as much as they are destroying themselves. The money system predicated upon gold and debts in the control of conscienceless internationals is rotten, and it has rotted two great empires.

England is paying the price of her Bank of Englandism; since the fateful 1684 the empire has grown great — and weak — at the same time.

Last week's edition of SOCIAL JUSTICE forecast the fall of Paris and the capitulation of France. Before this edition can be produced, in all probability France will have fallen.

Last week Paris; next week, or next year, England. Unprepared for war after her years under the profiteer-spending of Hore-Belisha in the war office; with her navy useless to prevent a starvation blockade of the British Isles from the Continent 20 miles away; with the bulk of her fighting machines left behind in the retreat from France; with her people disheartened by the exploitation of their country under the empire's internationalists, can England survive where patriotic France fell?

We Americans have no quarrel with the fine English people; nothing that has ever been said in these columns has been "anti-English," despite considerable libel to the contrary. We do indict "Britishism" and distinguish it most carefully from England.

If not Hitler, then some other leader would have been the man of destiny to challenge the empire system, with its unholy gold debt economy. The same system which, if not corrected, even without a war, will wreck the United States.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Revolution Faces America!

The United States Is Swinging to a One-Party Government Under Defense Hysteria

AMERICA is on the very eve of a bloodless revolution.

In the course of the last few weeks, the country has virtually gone to a one-party Government.

The National Defense Message of President Roosevelt to Congress electrified the nation, and all but silenced political debate in both Houses of the people's Congress.

From a sane, deliberative body, our Congress has changed overnight. The implications are too tremendous to be judged with accuracy in our present generation.

Immediately following upon the presentation of the national defense program, in which all Americans believe, the power so generously granted was put to use.

The $900-million asked in the name of national defense was increased 100 per cent by the "pork barrel" appropriations of Congress itself.

Then came the President with suggestions of $2-billion more in a matter of a few days.

The defense plan, apparently, has broken down all opposition or resistance to the Executive authority, whim and caprice.

Barely is mention made of an internal problem in the nation. National defense has suddenly monopolized the thinking of the vast majority of the 531 elected representatives of the people.

Then came the revelation of the real plan: It came suddenly, when leadership was at its zenith. It appeared in the guise of a radical departure from traditional neutrality, cash-and-carry, the *Johnson Act*, and refusal of credit to defaulters. It came as America took a *non-belligerent status* as the, proclaimed ally of England and France.

All the resources of America are pledged to England and France and all obstacles to the presidential program are, by presidential pronouncement, to be swept aside.

In the meantime, the *Industrial Mobilization Plan* remains locked in the vault at the White House, secret from even the Foreign Relations Committee of the United States Senate, secret from the Judiciary Committee of the United States Senate and the Judiciary Committee of the House, secret from all the 531 representatives of the people.

America is definitely pledged to a new policy of using all her resources to save the 47-million people of the United Kingdom and the 44-million people of France — out of the 512-million people of Europe.

No consideration is given in Congress to even counting the cost.

The debt limit will be raised immediately to $49-billion. The United States differs from any other nation because our Federal debt is paralleled with a vast debt structure of the states, the municipalities and the other units of local government.

We may expect taxes to be raised. There will be wealth taxes; there will be income taxes; there will be consumers' taxes to the point where every wage earner in America will understand just what it means to "save England and France."

There will be corporation taxes and limitation of profits to the point where depression years will look like a boom.

But *these are the least important things!*

Just as under imperialism the flag and the soldier followed the missionary and the bible, so under national defense the physical resources of America will first be handed over on a platter to the Allies and then will come what is expected to come, namely, insults to our national honor. The American soldier will follow the investment abroad to protect the invested dollar in the decadent empires of the Old World.

There will follow the essential wartime regimentation of not only property but men.

First will come compulsory military training in all our schools, transfer of the Civilian Conservation Corps into military corps, regimentation of all industries into "essential" industries. And then will come the regimentation of labor itself.

Strikes will be outlawed, collective bargaining will be superseded by Governmental decree as to hours, wages and conditions of employment.

Finally the day of the selective draft will arrive and then over the sea in ships will the youth of America go again to spill its blood on foreign battlefields for the outworn capitalistic system of decadent empires.

In that day SOCIAL JUSTICE will not be printed because it will not be permitted to be printed.

Truth will become the first casualty of the war.

Who'll Feed the Army?

—*Fitzpatrick in the St. Louis Post-Dispatch*

WITH THE MEN OF every nation in Europe under arms to defend their homeland or invade a neighbor's, who will plow the fields this Spring to grow next year's food?

Not Frenchmen, not Germans, not English farmers, or Norwegians.

How will the armies eat? Who will grow next year's food for the women and children whose menfolk are under arms? Certainly, not the women and a few old people.

Everybody past 20 years of age can remember the food shortages of the World War—the sugar card, the food "substitutes" because there was not enough flour; the long lines waiting through the night in fuel lines for a few pounds of coal!

Do you want that all over again? If you don't, write to your Congressman and tell him so. The pressure on him from the "other side" is something terrible!

France's New Deal Cracked Gates for Foe

IT WAS France's "New Deal" that cracked the gates for Hitler, says the *Chicago Weekly*, captioning a story written by Raymond Knotts. Says this writer:

"The French had the greatest munitions industry in the world. It manufactured arms, tanks, fighting planes for anyone who could pay for them. In Ethiopia, for instance, the Italians and Ethiopians shot at each other with cannons just alike — made in France.

"It wasn't that France couldn't build machines of war as fast as Germany could, or faster; it was just that France didn't.

"The French took time out to indulge themselves in a 'New Deal' very much like ours. And it was that 'New Deal' that put France where she is now . . .

"For vital months French industry almost stopped producing. The French New Deal stopped it. French politicians playing along with the Communists for their votes, just as our own New Deal politicians have done, opened the gates of French industry to a Russian enemy horde that had only one aim: to destroy French industry, and to destroy France!

"Socialist Leon Blum and his political associates, observing the tireless enterprise of the Communists . . . realized that there was political strength to be had by uniting with the Communists. Our own New Deal politicians have had the same idea.

". . . Pink Mr. Blum, eager to please the Red side of the family, began to beat French industry over the head as our own New Deal has beaten it over the head in America. But the Red relatives weren't satisfied. They took over the beating themselves and did the job with a speed and thoroughness that must have left Mr. Blum, himself, dazed and breathless.

"They introduced the sit-down strike, seizing plant after plant, including those that were manufacturing airplanes, motors, tanks, guns. They took over shipyards that were building warships for France. They took over warships, and hoisted the Red flag of Communism. *French working men actually stopped production on weapons the French nation urgently needed for its defense.*

"American working men did the same thing a couple of days ago. The C.I.O. Industrial Union of Maritime and Shipworkers of America called a strike against a shipyard at Kearny, New Jersey, that is building two cruisers and four destroyers for the United States Navy.

"President Roosevelt has said this nation must arm as rapidly as possible against dangers that surround us in a world at war. There can be no doubt that America urgently needs the six warships. And Sidney Hillman, a vice president of the C.I.O., is a member of the President's Council of National Defense . . .

"No nation can afford to let its politicians stir up strife and set class against class, as was done in France and is still being done under the New Deal in America."

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Young Love in Wartime

Howie Pay and Ima—A Tale of Two, 'and Taxes', Under the New Dealers

HOWIE PAY, *an ardent suitor, is about to break the sad news to his sweetheart, Ima Chump. Howie and Ima were to be married this summer, but now with war, higher taxes and a likely third term for Mr. Roosevelt, Howie isn't at all sure he wants to marry, and Ima isn't sure of Howie.*

The scene opens in a vacant ice cream parlor, with Howie telling Ima his tax troubles, pointing to his notebook. Ima sobs quietly to herself, tear-stained handkerchief in hand.

HOWIE: "So you see, Ima, a man has got to think twice before getting married nowadays. I've figured it all out. It costs so much to pay taxes that my salary isn't big enough to get married on."

IMA: "Oh, Howie, you brute! After all we've meant to each other!"

HOWIE: (*earnestly*) "But Ima, you don't understand. Look—I make $40 a week at the shop . . ."

IMA: (*interrupting*) "Yes, and if $40 a week isn't enough to get married on then I don't want a nickel!"

HOWIE: (*pleading*) "Now Ima, be reasonable—listen! I get $40 a week—okay—I got $40. Now what happens to it? (hauls out notebook) Now, first of all, you smoke cigarets and so do I. We average about 10 packages a week each, right?"

IMA: (*weakly*) "Y-yes."

HOWIE: "Okay — there's 80 cents a week apiece each. The cigaret tax is going up one cent to pay for national defense. There's been 7 cents on a package—now there's 8 cents. That's $1.60 right there."

IMA: "Yes—$1.60."

HOWIE: "Then, out of my $40 I lose 40 cents at one dig for Social Security. Then the state sales tax takes about $1.20. There's more than three bucks gone already, and we ain't started yet.

"Then we add in income tax. Married people will be exempted only about $1800 per year. Now, taking the income tax I would pay as a married man, and breaking it down into weeks, it figures out at about 30 cents.

"Then there's the gasoline tax. That's good for about 5 cents a gallon, and I use about 10 gallons a week—50 cents more for bombs and powder, instead of for dishes and soap."

IMA: (*weakening*) "Well, maybe, Howie . . ."

HOWIE: "I ain't even started yet. If I bought a $5,000 home, there'd be about $150 a year property tax on it—another $3 weekly taxes. Then I heard a radio speaker saying we pay 20 per cent of our salaries in hidden taxes. That's $8.00 at one slice.

"Then, we'd want to go to a couple of shows every week or so—20 cents amusement tax. And you'd want lipstick, powder, rouge and so forth. Another 20 cents a week in Federal cosmetics tax."

IMA: (*bravely*) "I'd go without a lot of that stuff."

HOWIE: "Now, Ima, be reasonable!"

IMA: "Well, I just wanted to help."

HOWIE: "I like to have a beer or two during the week. The two of us ought to be able to clean up a case. That'll cost about 25 cents for the Federal Government. Then we'd be buying a radio, a refrigerator and stuff on the instalment plan. That would cost us another 18 or 20 cents a week in Federal taxes. And our car—that would swallow up another 40 cents a week paying for the car on the instalment plan—Federal auto tax, you know."

IMA: "Well, it is discouraging, isn't it, Howie?"

HOWIE: "Discouraging? Holy smokes, marriage is suicide under these conditions! Lookit—we've spent about $16.23 for taxes out of my $40, and we haven't bought anything for the house!"

IMA: (*sobbing*) "Well, Howie—I guess this is the end—"

HOWIE: "Wait a minute—If there's a draft the married men go second, don't they? I guess I might just as well be miserable at home as on a foreign battlefield—Ima, will you marry me?"

IMA: *"Oh, Howie!"*

Left Waiting at the Church

Elderman

—*Elderman in the Washington Post*

'M-Day' in This Country Will Also Be Ladies' Day

CHEER UP, ladies, if you're tired of those prosaic housekeeping chores.

You may soon have relief from that monotonous routine of cooking, sweeping, dusting, scrubbing, dishwashing and laundering.

Yes, you may have a *new* job in the near future—if they can only get the United States into this war.

Then you can do your home chores in the evening, while you're resting after your day's war work at labor in the factory or office.

* * *

At the outset of the World War, if you remember, we Americans were unmoved by the plight of the Allies. We had a peculiar idea that Europe's imperial wars were the exclusive affairs of Europe. We clung to the old-fashioned principles of George Washington, who warned America to shun European entanglements. Perhaps, we remembered that the United States once fought a long, bitter war to free itself from the iron rule of Imperial Britain; that the United States was founded and made great by people who came here to escape oppression, poverty and the perpetual wars of Europe.

In due time, though, the World War propagandists—British and American—dispelled all these outmoded convictions. The American people were aroused by the "horrible atrocities being committed by those barbarous Huns."

(Continued on Page 11)

Gee Whiz Quiz!

IF YOU'VE escaped with your sanity after reading the propaganda from both sides in the present war, try this quiz. It should finish you off in grand style.

When you feel your common sense slipping away from you, it's time to stop. Score yourself anyway you like, but try to get 100.

QUESTIONS

1. If the airplane is out of date one year after it is made, why should we build 50,000 at this time?
2. If the nation is frightfully weak in airplanes, guns, tanks, bombs, rifles, naval destroyers, and military equipment in general, why should we sell these articles to the Allies?
3. Who thinks it would be a good idea to have compulsory military service in these free United States?
4. What's happened to Mayor LaGuardia?
5. Who is Leon M. Birkhead?
6. When do you think Hitler will invade the United States?
7. What is "militarism"?
8. What royal families appear likely to sprout on the North American continent?
9. Give a definition of Harold Ickes in one word.
10. What will happen (a) if Germany wins the war? (b) if the Allies win the war?

ANSWERS

1. Because Mr. Roosevelt said so.
2. Because Mr. Roosevelt said so.
3. Mr. Roosevelt.
4. He's running for Mr. Roosevelt's Cabinet.
5. He's a fellow out in Kansas City who runs an organization called "Friends of Democracy," which is devoted to setting up a protectorate for democracy under the leadership of Mr. Birkhead. He doesn't like Father Coughlin, Henry Ford, Charles Lindbergh, Thomas J. Watson, W. J. Cameron, and mostly everybody else.
6. Probably just before election time, if the third term press agents are correct.
7. It's a plant that grows bayonets, flourishes in Germany, and has been transplanted successfully here.
8. The Windsors of London, headed by George VI, and the Roosevelts of Washington, headed by Franklin I.
9. Brrruumphhsssizzlllleebang!
10. (a) This nation will be plunged into depression. (b) This nation will be plunged into depression.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Inside Washington

N. Y. Bankers Shown Behind Drive to War

Holt Reveals Secret Deal Between British Agent and White Committee

THE SO-CALLED *"Committee to Defend America by Aiding the Allies"*—an organization fronted by William Allen White, Kansas editor—was established in a secret meeting of 18 prominent bankers, called together by an influential British propagandist.

This was revealed on the floor of the United States Senate by Senator Rush D. Holt of West Virginia, in the course of debate on President Roosevelt's program of selling American Army and Navy planes to Great Britain and France.

The British propagandist is Frederic R. Coudert, legal adviser to the British Embassy in World War days. Senator Holt charged that, in this capacity, Coudert had "helped lead us into the World War."

The 18 bankers met in secret with certain other individuals, Senator Holt said. Present at the meeting were Thomas W. Lamont, partner of J. P. Morgan, and a holder of English investments, and Henry L. Stimson, Secretary of State in the Hoover Cabinet, and a supporter of President Roosevelt's pro-Ally foreign policy.

'Pot Shot' Author Writes 'Stop Hitler' Ad

The sensational *"Stop Hitler Now"* advertisement which appeared in full-page size in newspapers from coast-to-coast, was presented under the sponsorship of the *"Committee to Defend America by Aiding the Allies."*

This "Stop Hitler" ad was written by Playwright Robert Sherwood, whose play *"There Shall Be No Night"* is running currently in New York. This play is a depressing piece, described by Eugene Burr in the *Billboard* magazine as follows:

"It hysterically seeks to betray this country into the shambles of Europe; it takes unfair and disgraceful pot shots at the United States."

Senator Holt denounced President Roosevelt's openly expressed approval of the *"Stop Hitler"* ad, and then dipped into the background of the "Committee."

"They have a front, a stuffed shirt," Senator Holt said, referring to the Committee's national chairman, Kansas Editor White. "But let us see where that Committee started. Eighteen prominent bankers and others met secretly on the 29th day of April in New York to set up this Committee. They were called by Frederic R. Coudert.

Trade-In Policy— A Smoke Screen

"Do the Senators know who he is? He was the legal advisor of the British Embassy who helped lead us into the last World War! Frederic Coudert was the man who helped generate the propaganda that took the American boys to their death in 1917 and 1918, while he was on the pay roll of the British government."

Senator Holt denounced the shallow "trade in" subterfuge used by President Roosevelt as a screen for furnishing American military planes to the Allies.

"America needs pilots for duty in America," he said, "and we do not have enough planes to train them. Yet it is pretended that these planes are surplus, obsolete planes. We have seen our country led away from neutrality . . . If certain individuals in the Government have their way, we will . . . become a belligerent before the snow flies.

"That is why I am trying . . . to tell the American people that unless they awaken to the danger, American boys will be sent over there to man the obsolete planes and the obsolete guns," Senator Holt concluded.

Box Score on 76th Congress

Here's the box score on the present Congress, now preparing to recess for the national conventions:

Bills (resolutions) introduced in both Houses to mid-June, 4,046; bills reported, 1,621; bills passed, 1,269; record votes, 158; bills on calendar, 419.

***Congressional Record* pages filled (total) 7,735; Nominations received (Senate) 10,177; nominations rejected or withdrawn, 13; confirmed, 9578.**

Despite the figures, however, Congress' major activity to date has had to do almost solely with war, leaving domestic problems untouched.

Dogs, Fleas and 'Lawyers' Guild'

A BELIEF that the Lawyers' Guild, pink-tinged organization of attorneys, is unwilling to take any stand in opposition to the Communist party provoked the resignation of Assistant State Secretary A. A. Berle, Jr., from that organization.

His severance followed that of Attorney General Robert Jackson, and Interior Department Solicitor Nathan Margold, and preceded the expected resignation of Tom Corcoran, R.F.C. counsel and adviser to President Roosevelt.

Congressman John Jennings, Jr., of Tennessee, scoffed at the resignations, suggesting that the resignees have learned "he who lies down with dogs will get up with fleas."

War Making Riles Congress; Likely to Stay on Job Now

SHARP INCREASE in war tension abroad, high-lighted in Premier Reynaud's plain-spoken appeal for American intervention in the conflict to aid distressed France, served to influence members of Congress to postpone adjournment beyond the tentative June 22 date.

This date had been selected arbitrarily by Democratic leaders. Rapid spread of the war, however, seemed to make it advisable for Congress to heed popular demand and remain on the job.

It is expected that Congress will recess June 22—possibly until August 1. This will permit Congressmen to attend the Republican and Democratic National conventions and then reassemble. Even this recess, however, is hinged to events occurring abroad.

Not Willing to Quit With Crisis Threatening

Growing resentment at President Roosevelt's intention of effecting an early Congressional adjournment provoked the demand for Congress to hold fast during the period of emergency.

Representative Robert Ramspeck of Georgia voiced the feelings of the group standing against adjournment when he said: "I am not willing to say to the people that in this grave hour the 76th Congress is quitting for the rest of its term."

Others, expressing suspicion that the President was bent upon leading the nation into war, pointed to his endorsement of the *"Stop Hitler"* campaign pushed by the *"Committee to Defend America by Aiding the Allies"* as ample reason for their suspicion.

They cited also the churning propaganda mill set in motion by this same Committee which has requested the people to bombard Washington with demands to aid the Allies. This campaign, Congressmen inferred, has as its logical objective the scrapping of the American neutrality law.

Wheeler Denounces Road 'That Leads to War'

Meanwhile Congress speeded work on the new tax bill to soak consumers and wage-earners for the giant cost of national defense weapons. The Senate extended approval to President Roosevelt's plan for "trading in" supposedly "obsolete" Army guns and equipment for new, in order that the Allies might purchase our Army and Navy supplies and implements of war.

Shortly after the Senate took this step, Senator Burton K. Wheeler of Montana denounced action designed to put this nation into war.

"Every move is being made to take us down the road to war," he shouted. He added that if the Democratic party becomes a "war party," he would break with it, although he did not wish to do so. Senator Wheeler formerly has been a tacit supporter of the third term movement.

"We ought to serve notice that a great majority of the Senate is not going to vote for war," Senator Wheeler said. "We're not going to get into it. We ought not to fool Great Britain and France into thinking we're going to send American boys across the water again to be shot up."

'M-Day' in This Country

(Continued from Page 10)

By 1917—after President Wilson had been re-elected on a "he kept us out of war" slogan—we were sufficiently primed to "make the world safe for democracy." Patriotically we submitted ourselves to participation in a "war to end all wars."

Men traded their jobs for uniforms and guns. They went away to training camps and then to France, where thousands of them were blown to bits for the sake of "democracy" and Imperial Britain.

At home, American women replaced men in factories, mills, offices and various other occupations. One reason was, *they were needed* in order to keep the economic wheels of the nation turning. The other was, *they needed the jobs* in order to feed, clothe and house themselves and their families.

* * *

Who can say that conditions will be different if America now repeats her folly of 1917?

The general public has no knowledge of what the *Industrial Mobilization Plan* contains. The Senate is not permitted to see it. Drafted by industrialists, it lies hidden in a White House vault, to be exhumed only at the declaration of war. We can only speculate, on the basis of testimony before committees in Congress, what the plan contains.

Whether it provides for industrial conscription of women is of little importance. Deprived of their breadwinners — husbands, fathers or sons—women will be forced to take jobs in industry.

Yes, you ladies will find relief from the monotony of housework! Perhaps, it will come from driving a truck or a taxi, from operating a street car, from running a lathe, from making munitions or from laboring at one of a dozen other jobs in order to keep body and soul together. But it will be a change from peace, if you are tired of that.

How Would You Fight The Town Bully?

"We are unprepared, even to defend ourselves from foreign aggression; in danger of invasion. So said the President.

If that be true, should we send our resources across the sea to fight the battle at a disadvantage? Or should we prepare here at home to meet any and all enemies?

If you had to fight the town bully, would you call on him at his home, fight him in his own dooryard? Would you go to meet him down on the street corner? Or, if he was looking for trouble, and for you, and you knew he was coming; that the struggle was inevitable, would you hunt up a nice, big baseball bat or take the neckyoke or pitchfork, and meet him as he entered the door of your home?"

—Clare E. Hoffman
Rep. from Michigan

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Unions in Wartime

Labor's Recess Is Over!

A War Emergency for U.S. Means End of All Rights Won by Workers Through Organization

FRIGHTENED by the war alarm ringers, in the National Capitol and elsewhere, many Americans are being stampeded to advocate a total intervention by the United States on behalf of the hard-pressed Allies.

They want this country to send men, as well as money and supplies.

What will happen to the American working man; what will become of labor unions, under any mobilization for total war?

Obviously, labor's brief recess of freedom will be ended: the gains that have been won by sincere leadership and organization will be lost overnight.

Upon a declaration of war all classes of organized labor will find a new curriculum in the schoolroom and a new whip in teacher's hands. The lesson for the day will be, *"How to Fight for Democracy by Installing Totalitarianism."* Faint tinglings of the school bell signifying the end of labor's recess can now be heard in Washington, D. C.

With Mobilization Day — with the declaration even of a war "emergency" — gone will be the right to organize and bargain collectively, the right to strike, the right to picket, the right of a man to work or not to work. In place of that liberty will be a new curriculum of dictatorial regimentation.

Demands are increasing that labor sacrifice practically all of its recent gains, both just and unjust, in order to expedite completion of the unprecedented national defense program. Congress is wavering. Opposition to the *Wagner Labor Relations Act* has mounted considerably among both Houses. The necessity for "co-operation" between labor and capital is being stressed where it never was stressed before.

No Interference, President Warns

By a vote of 258 to 129, a ratio of precisely 2 to 1, the House recently passed the revised *Smith Amendents* to the *Wagner Act*. Although these proposed changes are in no way repressive to labor and had the endorsement of the American Federation of Labor, their passage by the House reveals a sudden reversal of Congressional form.

A few months ago, despite the clamor for revision of the *Wagner Act* along the lines of equity and justice, proposed amendments could not get past either Senate or House committees. With the current trend toward tightening the loose reins given labor in the past, the *Smith Amendments* won easily.

The Administration has given notice that it will brook no interference, no halting of the program for armed help for the Allies and huge armaments for America.

In his speech at Charlottesville, Virginia, President Roosevelt declared, "All roads leading to the accomplishment of these objectives must be kept clear of obstructions. We will not slow down or detour. Signs and signals call for speed—full speed ahead!"

In other words, the President would not tolerate such a thing as a strike among employes engaged in manufacturing airplanes, munitions, or other essential war materials needed by Britain or France for their war against Hitler, or needed by America for the fulfilment of defense plans.

True, the President stated that his aim is to make democracy work; nevertheless, the road he is taking is one leading to the opposite extreme.

Business Group Would Suspend Labor Laws

One striking example of this trend is a recent petition sent to Vice President Garner and Speaker of the House Bankhead by the American Association of Independent Small Business.

The Association asked Congress to suspend the *National Labor Relations (Wagner) Act*, the *Wage-Hour Law* and the *Walsh-Healy Act* in the interest of national defense. It declared these statutes handicap the defense program by retarding production. It cited the example of England and France, who, it said, were caught unprepared because their "social reforms" interfered with armament building.

Now, neither Great Britain or France are democracies. Both have forsaken all semblance of democracy; they have become totalitarian. Whether that step was necessary or unnecessary, wise or unwise, desirable or undesirable is not the question. The point is they are now totalitarian, and none knows how long that condition will endure.

Strangely enough, it was the Labor Party which demanded the overthrow of Great Britain's Chamberlain government. Labor got its wish and is now represented strongly in the cabinet of Winston Churchill, who symbolizes the old order of full-length capitalism. But what has happened to the English working man—those not called to die on the battlefield?

He is a vassal of the State. One of the first acts of the Churchill regime was to rush through Parliament, in 165 minutes, a bill creating a completely Totalitarian State, giving the government power to designate *where men shall work, how long they shall work, how much pay they shall receive*. It forbids men to quit one job and seek another without government consent. It authorizes the government to conscript every man in England, either for military service or industrial service. It authorizes conscription of property and wealth.

Essentially the same conditions prevail in beleaguered France.

It Can—and Will Happen Here on M-Day

That is the dismal picture for American labor, should our concern for the welfare of the British Empire lead the United States to active participation in the war abroad.

Should we have the good fortune to escape actual involvement, which appears more and more improbable in view of our bold abandonment of neutrality, labor still faces a bleak outlook.

THE NEW dictator government in England tells men where to work, how long they shall work, and how much pay they will receive.

English workers are forbidden to quit one job to look for another one.

The English government has been authorized to conscript every man in England, either for military duty or for industry.

All property and wealth, also, is similarly conscripted.

Democratic England went to the vassal status of dictatorship in a single act of Parliament under the stress of a war emergency: IT WILL HAPPEN HERE if war mongering puts this nation in a similar "emergency."

"Fight or Starve!"

"RELIEF DIRECTORS SHOULD ... REFUSE RELIEF TO SINGLE PERSONS ELIGIBLE FOR MILITARY SERVICE & REQUIRE UNMARRIED PERSONS IN RELIEF FAMILIES TO ENLIST."
N.J. STATE DIRECTOR MUDD
Jerry Doyle

—Jerry Doyle in the Philadelphia Record

Obviously, America is going militarist, especially so if Hitler defeats the Allies. And the place of labor in a nation gone militaristic differs little, substantially, from its place in a nation at war. There is a vast difference between cool, calculating preparedness, aimed to guard this nation against invasion, and frantic defense measures undertaken as the result of a manufactured war hysteria.

The one permits retention of our cherished republican form of Government with its democratic processes; the other leads invariably to totalitarianism.

At the bottom of the current trend away from freedom and private enterprise is the spread of materialism. Government, business and labor have forgotten, or at least neglected, the spiritual in their mad pursuit of the material. The principles of social justice—brotherly love — the concern for human rights—all have been cast aside. God and religion have been neglected while selfish material gain occupies the minds of men.

Government, Business, Labor, All Are Sinners

America is in spiritual poverty. More than 70 per cent of the United States population practices no religion. The home of the evening prayer is a rarity. Mental development lags along with the moral. The study group is archaic.

During this spiritual-mental decline, the Government, business and organized labor all have sinned. Government has failed to eradicate the evils of a dishonest money system, based on a philosophy of scarcity and debt; business has refused to purge itself of its abuses of rugged individualistic capitalism; organized labor, at least some branches of it, has attacked these abuses from the wrong angle, has even conspired against its own workers and the public.

Witness for example, the C.I.O., with its heavy infiltration of Com-

(Continued on Page 13)

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Labor's Recess Is Over!

(Continued from Page 12)

munists. Witness again the racketeering and anti-trust indictments against units of the A.F.L. By going to extremes labor's leadership has hurt its own case, has aided and abetted the anti-labor propaganda disseminated by big business.

Intemperate leadership has pitted America's two greatest unions in a hand-to-hand battle against each other. Selfish lust for power and personal gain seems to be the dominating factor.

Despite that much heralded "progress" in organization, only 17 per cent of all workers in the United States are in unions. Those who *are* organized have followed a leadership which puts a premium on high hourly wage rates and neglects consideration for *annual* incomes. They seem not to realize that a high unit rate too frequently results in *less total annual* income.

The last hope for the preservation of unionism lies in correcting its present faults, eliminating the rampant evils of capitalism, and above all, in KEEPING OUT OF OTHER NATIONS' WARS.

Italy Wants Free Access to Oceans

Mussolini Does Not Ask to Dominate Mediterranean but Would Free Sea from British Control

THE WAR "aims" of the various world powers are about as indefinite as silence can make them. One thing is clear: the era of imperialism is ending.

In its place, especially in Europe, three new world powers are rising to supplant the imperialism of the last 400 years.

In place of imperialism and in place of nationality—which bases its claims upon race; language, geographic unity, religion, history, tradition and culture—the new basis is an economic program of self-sufficiency.

With Russia practically withdrawn from the Far East, the dominant world power in the Orient has come to be Japan.

In the Western Hemisphere the United States will hold her political, economic and geographical domination; although any hope that the two Americas can be brought into a single economic order is still a rash assumption.

But in Europe three, and only three, world powers are evolving into any position of dominance; namely, Russia, Germany and Italy. As previously indicated in SOCIAL JUSTICE, it is our belief that the "world after the war" will see Europe divided into spheres of influence between Russia, with the Slavic peoples; Italy, and her association of Latins on the Mediterranean; and Germany, with an economic organization of northern Europe.

Italy Demands Access to World Trade

Italy, unified as respects to race and geography upon its own peninsula, announces as its immediate aim the acquisition of portions of the Mediterranean. Italy already has absorbed (in 1935), the Kingdom of Ethiopia and expects at least portions of the present French coastline and the English-owned island of Malta, together with parts of Egypt and other large areas in North Africa.

As Il Duce Mussolini declared in his historic address of June 10, Italy wants access to the seas. Italy wants freedom from the type of blockade that befell Germany in the World War.

Italy is denied this freedom as long as Great Britain holds Gibraltar and the Suez Canal.

Italy is *not* self-sufficient unless she has free access to the seas. Any foreign control over the Mediterranean presents insurmountable obstacles to Italy's future.

That Italy wants to "conquer the whole Mediterranean," or to "re-establish the old Roman Empire" are not within the field of practicalities. But it is imperative that modern Italy gain, first, access to world markets and then, as of a secondary importance, develop certain raw material resources to be found in the Mediterranean countries. These objectives are understandable; in fact, they make sense, in a way that Italy's deprivation of them does not.

There are approximately 135-million people resident in the territory immediately adjacent to the Mediterranean Sea.

There are approximately 3,330,000 square miles of area in the territories bordering upon the Mediterranean.

Italy has 45-million people concentrated in a territory of a little over 100,000 square miles.

It is the wildest of dreams to assume that Italy wants to command the Mediterranean. What Italy does want is the destruction of the British power in the Mediterranean; freedom from the guns of Gibraltar, and freedom from the foreign officership of the Suez Canal.

Opinions on War

For and Against

IN LAST ANALYSIS, it is the strength and courage of America's will for peace that can save this country from war.

Public opinion, moulded by one set of propagandists or another, is the decisive factor.

That public opinion is being acted upon now by those who would cast us into war—immediately and without any qualifications. Unfortunately, the advocates of war are more vocal than the advocates of peace.

The moral of this would seem to be: *speak up, now!*

THEY STAND FOR WAR

Rear Admiral Yates Stirling, U.S. Navy, Retired

"America must choose and choose quickly, for no time can be lost. The monster is at our gates. Spiritually, America is in the war. Why not actively?"

* * *

Colonel Henry Breckinridge, War Secretary of Wilson Cabinet

"The United States should declare war on the Nazis as a mortal enemy of mankind and a menace to our security."

* * *

Bishop Henry Wise Hobson, Southern Ohio Episcopal Diocese

"Can we sit still in psuedo-neutrality and run the great danger of having a Nazi victory destroy the liberty of free peoples, until all life is subordinated under the rule of dictatorship and every value embodied in western civilization by the western faith is wiped out?"

* * *

Dr. Charles Seymour, Yale University President

"In this struggle for human freedom we ought to contribute just so far as our resources permit . . . hasten our manufactures of airplanes for export to the French and British . . . repeal the Johnson Act . . . permit enlistment of American volunteers in French and British forces."

* * *

Dr. W. Stull Holt, Professor of History, Johns Hopkins University

". . . It is not too late to help the Allies . . . As long as they are fighting Hitler he cannot attack us by military invasion or by economic isolation. As long as they can carry on the fight we should supply them with the means of fighting."

* * *

Mr. James P. Warburg, Financier and Author

"The truth is that we are in danger. The truth is that to meet this danger we shall have to make sacrifices. The time has come to recognize that anyone who tries to blind us to this truth is either blind himself or a traitor." The United States was urged to cast its lot with the Allies "by measures short of war, or ultimately by going to war," to prevent their defeat.

THEY STAND FOR PEACE

Senator Gerald P. Nye, of North Dakota

"If this war continues long enough . . . and we continue our economic interests on one side, we will find ourselves one day financing the entire performance . . . It could readily cost us as much as $1-billion a month . . . If you are not ready to go the whole way you must be pro-Nazi, pro-Italian, pro-Communist, or pro-anything except pro-American . . . I wonder if it is going to be possible to check this trend."

* * *

Raymond J. Kelly, National American Legion Commander
(Chicago Tribune Report)

"Entry of the United States into the present European war would be not only a grave error but a futile, dangerous gesture . . . This country is not half prepared to defend itself . . . Our foreign policy should be one of practical measures . . ."

* * *

Senator Ernest Lundeen, of Minnesota

"Great Britain and France are empires—won by conquest and by aggression—by swords dipped into the blood of the nations now enslaved . . . Yet we hear talk about defending these 'democracies.' If that be democracy, God save the world. We have a democracy here. Let us save that democracy. Let us attend to our own affairs . . ."

* * *

Most Reverend Edmond J. FitzMaurice, Catholic Bishop of Wilmington, Delaware.

"The presumption was that democracy made safe would heal all the world's ills. We won that war but I need not remind you how democracy benefitted; we almost killed the patient in an effort to save him. We ought to take warning from the experience not to interfere in the affairs of Europe.

"We pray God that He may save the sons of America from death on the barb-wired obstructions of battlefields and save our cities and towns from the bombers' rain of death."

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

The Road to War

Believe It or Not, the President Said:

Cause of Wars

On January 4, 1935, in his Annual Message to Congress, President Roosevelt stated:

"I cannot with candor tell you that general international relationships outside the borders of the United States are improved. On the surface of things many old jealousies are resurrected, old passions aroused; new strivings for armament and power, in more than one land, rear their ugly heads. I hope that calm counsel and constructive leadership will provide the steadying influence and the time necessary for the coming of new and more practical forms of representative government throughout the world wherein privilege and power will occupy a lesser place and world welfare a greater.

"I believe, however, that our own peaceful and neighborly attitude toward other nations is coming to be understood and appreciated. The maintenance of international peace is a matter in which we are deeply and unselfishly concerned. Evidence of our persistent and undeniable desire to prevent armed conflict has recently been more than once afforded.

"There is no ground for apprehension that our relations with any nation will be otherwise than peaceful. Nor is there ground for doubt that the people of most nations seek relief from the threat and burden attaching to the false theory that extravagant armament cannot be reduced and limited by international accord."

World Peace

On January 16, 1935, in a recommendation for adherence to the World Court, addressed to the Senate of the United States, President Roosevelt said:

"The sovereignty of the United States will be in no way diminished or jeopardized by such action. At this period in international relationships, when every act is of moment to the future of world peace, the United States has an opportunity once more to throw its weight into the scale in favor of peace."

Merchant Marine

On March 4, 1935, in a message to Congress on an adequate Merchant Marine:

"The first is that in time of peace subsidies granted by other nations, shipping combines, and other restrictive or rebating methods may well be used to the detriment of American shippers. The maintenance of fair competition alone calls for American flagships of sufficient tonnage to carry a reasonable portion of our foreign commerce.

"Second, in the event of a major war in which the United States is not involved, our commerce, in the absence of an adequate American merchant marine, might find itself seriously crippled because of its inability to secure bottoms for neutral peaceful foreign trade.

"Third, in the event of a war in which the United States itself might be engaged. American flagships are obviously needed not only for naval auxiliaries, but also for the maintenance of reasonable and necessary commercial intercourse with other nations. We should remember lessons learned in the last war."

Unity of Americas

On April 15, 1935:

"On the occasion of this celebration of Pan-American Day let us again dedicate ourselves to the task of translating into deeds the essential unity of interest of the nations of this Continent. Let us also bring renewed allegiance to those high principles of international co-operation and helpfulness which, I feel assured, will be a great contribution to civilization by the Americas."

Canada

On April 29, 1935, in a letter to Secretary of War, George H. Dern:

"Referring to page 16 of this printed document, I desire to inform your Committee that certain portions of the testimony of General Kilbourne, especially those relating to the Canadian border, do not represent either the policy of this Administration or that of the Commander-in-Chief.

"In the statement of General F. M. Andrews, many portions of said statement, especially those relating to the territory of friendly nations, in Canada, in the Atlantic and in the West Indies, do not represent the policy of the Administration or of the Commander-in-Chief.

"I can go further and state that they do not reflect the views, purposes or motives of the United States Government. This Government does not in any of its plans or policies envisage the possibility of a change in the friendly relationship between the United States and any foreign country.

"I call your especial attention to the fact that this Government not only accepts as an accomplished fact the permanent peace conditions cemented by many generations of friendship between the Canadian and American people, but expects to live up to not only the letter, but also the spirit of our treaties relating to the permanent disarmament of our three thousand miles of common boundary."

King's Portrait

On July 11, 1935, in accepting a portrait of King George V of Great Britain in behalf of the American people:

"I am happy to accept on behalf of the American people this admirable portrait of His Majesty King George V, which is presented by the artist, Mr. Frank Salisbury.

"I have already expressed to Mr. Salisbury my appreciation of his gift but I should be grateful, Mr. Ambassador, if you would tell him of the particular pleasure with which I have actually today received the portrait.

"I likewise should be grateful if you would inform His Majesty that the portrait will be hung in the national gallery where it will be seen by great numbers of our citizens who come to Washington from all parts of the United States. It will be a privilege for these citizens to observe the likeness of a monarch whose faithful and steadfast qualities of leadership have aroused so much admiration in this country. The portrait will be a symbol of the many ties which draw together the peoples of our respective nations.

"I thank you, Mr. Ambassador, for your courtesy in coming here personally this morning to present the portrait."

Ethiopia

On August 1, 1935, President Roosevelt stated:

"At this moment, when the Council of the League of Nations is assembled to consider ways for composing by pacific means the differences that have arisen between Italy and Ethiopia, I wish to voice the hope of the people and the Government of the United States that an amicable solution will be found and that peace will be maintained."

Neutrality

On approving the original Neutrality Legislation on August 31, 1935:

"I have given my approval to *S. J. Resolution 173*—the neutrality legislation which passed the Congress last week. I have approved this *Joint Resolution* because it was intended as an expression of the fixed desire of the Government and the people of the United States to avoid any action which might involve us in war. The purpose is wholly excellent, and this *Joint Resolution* will to a considerable degree serve that end.

"It provides for a licensing system for the control of carrying arms, etc., by American vessels; for the control of the use of American waters by foreign submarines; for the restriction of travel by American citizens on vessels of belligerent nations; and for the embargo of the export of arms, etc., to both belligerent nations.

"The latter Section terminates at the end of February, 1936. This Section requires further and more complete consideration between now and that date. Here again the objective is wholly good. It is the policy of this Government to avoid being drawn into wars between other nations, but it is a fact that no Congress and no Executive can foresee all possible future situations. History is filled with unforeseeable situations that call for some flexibility of action. It is conceivable that situations may arise in which the wholly inflexible provisions of Section I of this Act might have exactly the opposite effect from that which was intended. In other words, the inflexible provisions might drag us into war instead of keeping us out. The policy of the Government is definitely committed to the maintenance of peace and the avoidance of any entanglements which would lead us into conflict. At the same time it is the policy of the Government by every peaceful means and without entanglement to co-operate with other similarly minded governments to promote peace.

"In several aspects further careful consideration of neutrality needs is most desirable and there can well be an expansion to include provisions dealing with other important aspects of our neutrality policy which have not been dealt with in this temporary measure."

'It Can Be Done'

On September 14, 1935, at the dedication of the White Face Memorial Highway, Lake Placid, N. Y.:

"What I have seen today in this wonderful drive makes me more enthusiastic about four little words than I ever have been before. Those four short words are these, 'It can be done!'

"Back in 1917, when the United States entered the World War, within a few weeks after April 6, 1917, we received in Washington two delegations of military and naval and civilian officials, one from France and the other from England. Little preparation had been made by this country before actually entering into the war, and there were many theories as to what part the United States should play. I happened to be present in those days at a conference of these visitors and the President of the United States and the leaders of our Army and Navy. We had thought up to that time in terms of putting half a million men into the field. The preparations of the General Staff of the Army and of the Navy had never visualized a role by us in the war that would call for more than perhaps a million men.

"But, through April, 1917, we saw, having entered the war, the necessity of winning it—at least of helping our associated and allied countries in winning it—and I remember old Marshal Joffre, in the conference, asking the President of the United States how many men America could furnish.

"I remember very well the reply of our President: 'You will have, Marshal Joffre, a million men; and if you need two million men you will have two million men; and if you need five million men you will have five million men; and if you need the entire manpower, you will have the entire manpower of America.' And, then he used those four words, 'It can be done.'

"Actually, as you know, nearly five million men were under arms in the Army and Navy. And, if it had been necessary, we would have supplied five million more to help win that war. Wilson said, 'It can be done,' and it was done."

(To Be Continued)

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

New Deal Copies Communism

How Trend of the Nation's Planning Parallels Marxists' Dream—Follows Red Pattern

IT WAS ONCE popular to annihilate one's opponent in debate by labelling his doctrines "Socialistic."

It is as popular now to label all who disagree with the tag of "Communism."

Nevertheless, it is most important for every informed person who loves his country to understand some of the foremost principles of Communism and thus to know to what degree, and with what speed, our own beloved America is following the pattern of the Communistic regime.

Communism has a definite political and economic philosophy.

Not all of the professed objectives of Communism are *per se* necessarily bad. One makes a mistake in assuming that all things are evil simply because Communists happen to advocate them.

The Socialists and the Communists must be given credit, at least, for their analyses of social, political and economic conditions in the nation. The rub comes when these *doctrinaires* attempt to apply a wholly materialistic and atheistic Marxist philosophy as the remedy for the revealed evils of the day.

Any serious study of Communism embraces the writings and the speeches of the apostles of revolutionary philosophy over many centuries and in all parts of the globe. For practical purposes it must embrace, at least, the socialistic theories, and principles of the revolutionary leaders, of the last century in Germany and in France. Specifically, it includes the writings of Karl Marx and the *Communist Manifesto.* In addition, it includes the published reports of the *Internationale.*

Reds' First Principle Is Internationalism

The first principle of Communism is the disregard of national barriers and its desire to speak for the peoples of the world. This has its parallel in our own beloved country when we suddenly embrace in our paternalism all the nations of the two Americas, and assume a virtual sovereignty over two continents as respects territorial integrity, national defense and representation in world ideologies.

Crossing national boundary lines with the broad assumption of speaking for 260-million persons is a little rash for even an American President. This "effrontery" had its pattern also in the revolutionary leaders of the last century who assumed to represent, without portfolio, the working men of the world. Communists boldly assume that they speak for all the workers of the world.

The second principle of Communism is the *abolition of private property,* both in land and in the instruments of production, and the application of private property to public purposes.

This principle has definitely started on its way in America. The Federal Trade Commission's *"Report on Agricultural Inquiry"* (Part 1, 1937, page 33), contains one of the most important utterances of public record on this subject. This staid old Commission formally reported to Congress: "The Commission records with dismay its belief that the survival of independent farming by farmers who own their own farms and maintain an American standard of living is in jeopardy."

Government Is Owner of Farms and Homes

The extent of the invasion of the Federal Government into the field of private ownership is not realized by the mass of our citizens. Few understand, for instance, that the Government is the largest owner of farms in America today. Some few already do understand that our Government is the largest owner of city dwellings, through foreclosures by the H.O.L.C.

The bankers know that the Government is the owner of approximately $1-billion out of $3-billion, 300-million in the capital structure of the private banks of the country.

Insurance companies understand that the Federal Government is the largest single holder of insurance stock today.

Railroads and industrials likewise have been hugely benefited by the Government's investments in what was, heretofore, private property.

The total Reconstruction Finance Corp. advances in loans and subscriptions to stock has been in excess of $7-billion.

Opposing Systems Clash Over Property

A fundamental principle of Christianity is the right of ownership of private property. The contrasting principle of Communism is the destruction of private property.

The Communist writers advocate this destruction through heavy, progressive and graduated income taxes together with gift and estate taxes with the aim the destruction of wealth.

In America, private property has been attacked viciously through destructive taxation.

President Roosevelt in his message of June 19, 1935, stated: "My first proposal, in line with this broad policy, has to do with inheritances and gifts.

"Such inherited economic power is as inconsistent with the ideals of this generation as inherited political power was inconsistent with the ideals of the generation which established our Government."

America has carried the principle of taxation to a degree actually alarming to the thoughtful student of economics. Wholly aside from the new national defense taxes, our tax bill represents practically $1 of Government expense for every $3 of national income.

A fourth great Communist principle is to centralize the control over instruments of production.

In America, this tendency has been present for some five years. The first evidence came from the governmentally-established Delaware Corporations, including the infamous "Emergency Leasing Corporation" which had the chartered power to purchase, lease or otherwise acquire any factory in America, to manufacture any product under any patent or trademark and to sell, lease, donate or otherwise dispose of the product so manufactured.

Following this came the T.V.A. experiment.

Next in importance one might list the little known Mobilization Plans now secretly held in the White House vaults.

Then came, under the guise of conservation, the Government development of natural resources including the building of the Boulder Dam, the Grand Coulee, Muscle Shoals and the proposed development of the St. Lawrence.

A sixth principle of Communism is the centralization of distribution facilities. There is scarcely a transportation executive left in America who can call his soul his own.

Individual Regimented Under Communism

A seventh principle of Communism concerns itself with the individual citizen.

Just as property, including the instruments of production and transportation, is to be concentrated into the hands of the State, so Communism contemplates the State's absolute control of every individual.

Regimentation is still new to America. The depression provided occasion for registering the unemployed. The *Social Security Act* provided the occasion for registering the employed. Thus essential statistical information on every wage earner in the country is now in the Government's hands.

The program of national defense, together with the Industrial Mobilization program, provides the opportunity for writing such legislation as the Administration desires in carrying into effect the complete regimentation of the workers of America. Nor is this mere theory; "democratic" England made all this an accomplished fact by the passage of a single bill in Parliament, in a brief 165 minutes, as that nation turned totalitarian under the stress of the war emergency.

Population Shifts a Marxist Dream

Another pet theory of the Communists was the redistribution of population. In the planned economy of the apostles of Karl Marx, great groups of the population in the various countries were to be shifted about in the name of efficiency.

Our country started upon that policy as far back as the Wilson administration when the economic planners sought to open new and vast territories through the creation of drainage, levee and irrigation districts so that the nation might produce more food for war uses.

We have followed through in this Administration by the Matanuska colonization adventure in Alaska and by the various irrigation projects now under way throughout the country.

Now, a measure of planned economy has its definite place in any civilization. Nevertheless, it is important—and salutary—from time to time to check our national tendency against both the errors of modern capitalism and against the principles of Communism.

Forward Marx!



—From the Philadelphia Record

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED



(SOCIAL JUSTICE aims to make this page a free forum and readers' opinions are invited. Naturally, the views expressed are not always those of this magazine. We cannot guarantee that contributions sent to SOCIAL JUSTICE can be returned to the writers. Letters not signed with the writer's name and address cannot be considered, although the name will not necessarily be used. We reserve the right to publish all letters received unless contrary instruction is specifically given.)

Invincible Principles

TO THE EDITOR:

All the personal "defenders" of Father Coughlin are wasting their time and energy.

The critics of Fr. Coughlin are, and always have been, double-talk artists. They confuse or identify Fr. Coughlin, the person, with the principles he expounds; they make the personality double for the principle.

One gets the impression from these critics that Fr. Coughlin is not Fr. Coughlin but "Father Principle." Even Gertrude Stein in her palmiest days couldn't throw a "fast one" like that.

When I first suggested to the critics, a few years ago, that they couldn't spell and pronounce "principle" they acted towards me as if I was susceptible to "flattery."

There are far too many unconstitutional, un-American, usurious, debt, economy fifth columnists in this nation.

The inevitable logical effects of a false basic principle, cannot be avoided. The logical remedy can only be applied to the false cause or principle.—**Thos. Brennan, Jr.**

Rochester, N. Y.

Trail Blazing

TO THE EDITOR:

This is to let "Magazine Reader" of San Antonio, Texas, know that he is receiving co-operation here in Connecticut in his boycott of periodicals which insult Father Coughlin and "Coughlinites."

I consider insults from those sources as compliments, because it shows we are on the right track. The one who is persecuted by the worldly majority invariably represents right and truth. It was true of Christ and it will always be true of anyone who tries to teach His principles in practice.

Why should we expect to escape the fate which He had to endure?

SOCIAL JUSTICE does not follow the pack but blazes its own lonesome trail, specializing in pointing out the immoralities of our civilization and constructively teaching the remedies.

So let's disregard the mud slinging and go serenely on.

Hamden, Conn. —**Connecticut**

A Letter Is Better!

TO THE EDITOR:

How would a petition from every family in the United States to its Members of Congress work? One like this:

"If you vote to send our boys abroad—we'll vote to keep you at home."

Would that not end the idea of our young men's going to Europe or China? —**John M. Baer**

Washington, D. C.

The Same Toes!

TO THE EDITOR:

I am afraid "Magazine Reader" of San Antonio, Texas will be compelled to drop many more of her favorite magazines.

As a rule, magazines that do not criticize Father Coughlin's ideas, ignore him entirely. You have perhaps noticed the daily papers have formed a habit of making Father Coughlin's items, back page news, inferring to the public that in the editor's opinion, they are of little importance.

The great majority of newspapers and magazines are owned and controlled by the same interests. It may surprise you to learn that those same interests own or control the publishing houses and supply books to our public schools. We can readily understand why our monetary system has never been taught in our public schools. By this vast control these interests are in a position to mold the public mind.

These interests are so interlocked, that it matters little which specific evil Father Coughlin exposes, he will be treading on some of the same toes!

—**Mrs. J. B. Rheinberger**

Glenwood, Minn.

They Want to Know 'Why'

TO THE EDITOR:

Your "Editorial Confidences" of May 27th, surprised me into writing to congratulate you on exactly the type of program you have been offering. Why have so much "hammer and tong" controversy, and in the long run what does it accomplish?

We readers like to know *what is happening and why,* but we also appreciate articles of educational value and the more "high-brow" the better. Any paper can fill its pages with scathing denunciation and convincing anathemas — but not many appear capable of enlightening its readers on practices of former days which have led to contemporary institutions and organizations.

All I can say is, I like SOCIAL JUSTICE and please give us more editions in the same vein!

—**Mrs. F. H. Unger**

Waterloo, N. Y.

Same Old Deal!

TO THE EDITOR:

Every American with even one Irish ancestor burns with indignation over the centuries of atrocities heaped upon the Irish people by the British Empire. But even that is water over the dam—why all this hue and cry at this late date? Let us concern ourselves with our own immediate problem.

Is not the "New Deal" just the same old British Deal with a bit of white wash? Yet our Irish politicians in Illinois went for it, hook, line and sinker.

I know, for I was working day and night to sell the people SOCIAL JUSTICE when the old time politicians cracked down on us.

—**Mary Cunningham**

Chicago, Ill.

Stand on Own Feet

TO THE EDITOR:

The article on the front cover of May 27 issue of SOCIAL JUSTICE, "United States Now Stands on Own Feet" reminds me of a blunt saying learned from my father, namely, "He who relies on others is as good as dead."

Realism is now replacing false conceptions of security in this country, but it took the Sword of Damocles, which Germany is now holding upon both Britain, Queen of the Seas, and France, the pugnacious, to make America self-conscious and begin plans for her own defense, with her own resources and her rightful instinct of self-preservation.

By minding her own affairs, in the true sense of the word, and by arming to the teeth, America can get very close to a state of national safety and independence. "Better late than never," is also true in the present change of program brought about by the fast changing conditions of the world.

—**G. A. Finarelli**

Upper Darby, Pa.

Observant Reader

TO THE EDITOR:

After reading what M. E. Murphy had to say about SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine being too one-sided and the articles such as money, usury and the guilds becoming monotonous, I think he will be disappointed in my opinion. This critic picks his cherries from the one side of the fence or he does not have a very good memory.

If one reads SOCIAL JUSTICE he surely will find where the magazine printed articles stating that Naziism and Communism were "twins," and in a 1938 issue, it stated that Russia and Germany had secret trade agreements. It also stated that Mr. Chamberlain was the "goat" for the Sassoons, Rothschilds and other leaders like Hore-Belisha, selling the Jews in Palestine in favor of the Arabs.

I think that SOCIAL JUSTICE is educational and anyone buying a copy gets a dollar's worth for a dime.

Reading, Pa. —**F. Toker**

'Social Justice' Map

TO THE EDITOR:

Selling SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazines last Sunday (May 19th) at St. John The Evangelist Roman Catholic Church in New Britain, the magazines were not going very fast so I had lots of time for thought. This came to me as I looked down over the low lands:

Father Coughlin is at the top of the hill as a guide and SOCIAL JUSTICE is a map. The lands we see before us are the political fields we have to go through. Now there are different kinds of fields, such as swamps, and if you try to go through them you will be lost. Those places are covered with nice, clean, green grass and we have to go through them whether we like it or not. So would we not try to get a map that would show us where the swamps are so that we could look for a good safe path to take?

You can never go wrong if you follow the truth and you will find it in SOCIAL JUSTICE.

—**Mrs. Elizabeth Wylie**

Hartford, Conn.

Is There Not Still Another Alternative for Christians?

TO THE EDITOR:

We Christian Americans are prone to feel safe in our obscure, thus, easily fortified home, where the hounds of lust and greed of Europe cannot affect us. And, certainly, we are sure that though Christianity is forcefully being done away with, in the Russian way of "annihilation" and by the German means of "carnal supplantation," never will we know the deprivation of freedom to practice our religion.

At one time I remember reading an article in SOCIAL JUSTICE by Hilaire Belloc who wrote, what I believe was a true prophesy, that with the short pass of time Catholics would either be universally exhaulted or that they would be banished to the limits of some small wayward island.

With two enormous nations on the rampage and hammering at the weakening walls of England, she is sure, eventually, to give France a "powder" and sail away to the beautiful distant shores of their loyal Canadian possession; leaving France, that long-militant Catholic country, stranded like the crew in a small powerless boat, lost in the middle of the restless Atlantic with scant supplies; soon to become exhausted and give in to the entirely mundane philosophies of those two atheistic gladiators on the roam with craving and insatiable appetites. For it is too good to think that even a nation predominantly Catholic would not go the way of all flesh when pressed to the extent of material starvation.

When Europe loses Christianity, then American Catholics shall be but the remnants of a defeated, banished and merging army with but one alternative left — to disband and so, like the foreign races in America, become absorbed to insignificance among the mass of humanity, which as water that flows down the path of least resistance.

Civil authority is naught without the support given it by the Christian Church.

Democracy is only a shadow from Catholic philosophy!

I ask you to consider the bareness and coldness of Communism as offering a person only food supplies on a blowy, freezing night leaving the body exposed to the agony of gangrene; and confounded in the depths of Dante's Inferno.

—**Arthur Francis McGovern**

U.S.S. Warrington
Pearl Harbor
Oahu, T. H.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

COULD YOU USE $2,500.00 IN CASH?

THIS GREAT 'MEN AND MONEY' CONTEST COULD GET IT FOR YOU

QUESTIONS FOR THIS WEEK!

QUESTION No. 13

Threats to the Safety of Which Nation Most Seriously Agitates the Government of the United States?

1. Poland.
2. Great Britain.
3. Holland.
4. Switzerland
5. Finland.
6. Czechoslovakia.
7. Belgium.
8. Norway.

QUESTION No. 14

What Is the Most Serious National Menace Now Facing America?

1. Communist propaganda.
2. The "fifth column."
3. Nazi propaganda.
4. Raising of the legal bonded Federal debt limit.
5. The coming presidential election.
6. Anti-Semitism.
7. British propaganda.
8. Burdensome taxation.

Fill in This Coupon and Mail with 10 Cents in Coin

Make your selection by number from suggestions under each question. Write clearly the number in the little square.

No. 13 For Question No. 13 I select Answer No. ☐ **No. 14** For Question No. 14 I select Answer No. ☐

*Name*_____

*Address*_____

*Town or City*_____ *State*_____

EXTRA COUPON FOR A FRIEND

Fill in This Coupon and Mail with 10 Cents in Coin

Make your selection by number from suggestions under each question. Write clearly the number in the little square.

No. 13 For Question No. 13 I select Answer No. ☐ **No. 14** For Question No. 14 I select Answer No. ☐

*Name*_____

*Address*_____

*Town or City*_____ *State*_____

Rules of the Contest

1. Open to all readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE, except employes of Father Coughlin or members of their families.

2. The contest shall consist of 50 questions and shall run for 11 consecutive weeks, beginning on Monday, May 13, 1940. Two questions each week for 10 weeks and all 50 questions to appear on the 11th week. Each question deals with the social justice principles laid down by Father Coughlin in his radio addresses or with the world's leading men at this time and the effects of their actions on current events.

3. A Grand Prize of $2,500.00 will be awarded the reader of SOCIAL JUSTICE returning the best or most appropriate answers to the 50 questions, such answers to be based on the viewpoint of Father Coughlin and SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine as expressed over the radio or in print. The reader or readers submitting the next nearest correct answers and otherwise complying with the rules shall be eligible for the additional prizes in the order of their correctness.

4. In order to qualify as a prize-winner, the contestant is required to send in 10 cents in coin (not stamps) with each pair of answers. For these remittances the contestant shall have sent without further cost two copies of Father Coughlin's radio lecture books.

5. We cannot take into account solutions without signed names or addresses. Neither can claims to their ownership be recognized. We cannot be responsible for coupons arriving unduly late or lost in the mails. By entering the contest the contestant agrees to accept as final the decision of the judges as to procedure and final outcome.

6. In event of ties additional questions will be submitted. In event of final ties, after two such submissions, duplicate prizes will be awarded.

7. Do NOT decorate coupons. Neatness will not count.

8. Any inquiry, the reply to which could not affect outcome of contest, will be acknowledged.

9. A contestant may send in any number of sets of duly qualified answers and each set will be judged as a unit, but no individual may win more than one prize. If submitting more than one set of answers, the contestant is required to keep them distinct by designating them "Set 1," "Set 2," "Set 3," etc. Each submitted set shall entitle the contestant to the two books specified in Rule 4.

ADDRESS ALL CONTEST MAIL TO

'CONTEST MANAGER' SOCIAL JUSTICE

ROYAL OAK, MICHIGAN

"TIME AND TIDE wait for no man."

Time certainly will not wait more than four more weeks for you to get into our great *"MEN AND MONEY" Contest*—and strike for your share of $6,500.00 in cash prizes, which MUST be awarded to 109 readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine.

Time simply cannot wait much longer for you, because the announcement of the Contest's close will come at the end of another four weeks.

There's a Grand Prize of $2,500.00 waiting for the man or woman, boy or girl, who sends in the best or most appropriate answers to the 50 contest questions. These questions are based on the monetary principles of Father Coughlin, so well known to thinking Americans, and also upon the contents of SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine with reference to current events.

There is a Second Prize of $1,200.00; a Third Prize of $1,000.00; a Fourth Prize of $400.00; a Fifth Prize of $300.00; and 104 additional cash awards, right down to 100 cash prizes of $10.00 each.

If you have not yet entered this great, fortune-building, as well as educational test, you are missing one of the real opportunities of this year.

Literally hundreds of SOCIAL JUSTICE readers—no smarter or more educated than yourself—have won prizes in SOCIAL JUSTICE contests like this during the last four years.

This could be your turn to win. Remember, every Contest brings out a new set of winners. If you have been in other SOCIAL JUSTICE contests, don't fail to persevere and enter this one. Your opportunity to win the Grand Prize or any of the other awards is equal to that of any other person in the competition.

Here's how to enter: use the "PAST QUESTIONS" Coupon on this page. Fill it in and send it to the Contest Manager right away. Within a few days you will have in your hands every question that has appeared in the contest right down to date.

Then you select your answers, fill in the composite coupon you receive, send it in with your remittance—and you're in.

Within a few weeks—$2,500.00 in cash CAN be yours.

SCAN THIS LIST...THEN ACT

GRAND PRIZE	$2,500
Second Prize	1,200
Third Prize	1,000
Fourth Prize	400
Fifth Prize	300
Sixth Prize, 4 cash awards at $25	100
Seventh Prize, 100 cash awards at $10	1,000
TOTAL	$6,500

Rush This Back Today

"PAST QUESTIONS" COUPON

CONTEST MANAGER, SOCIAL JUSTICE,
Royal Oak, Michigan

I wish to enter the "MEN AND MONEY" Contest at once.

Please send me FREE all past questions and suggested answers which have appeared in the Contest so far.

*My Name Is*_____

*My Address Is*_____

*City or Town*_____ *State*_____

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Attorney Asks Jury Not to Convict 14 for Being Christians

IN A RESOUNDING summation in the closing days of the Brooklyn sedition trial last week, Former Magistrate Leo Healy, counsel for 9 of the 14 young defendants, proclaimed to the court and jury:

"This is a Christian country. Will you convict these young men because they dared to say so?"

"Let's get down to facts," Attorney Healy declared as he turned and addressed the Government attorneys and agents of the F.B.I.

"Who were you after in this case, gentlemen? I know, ***you were out to get Father Coughlin and the Christian Front!***

"If not, why did Albert Chapereau, a convicted gem smuggler, approach Defendant William Bishop in prison and ask him to supply the F.B.I. with information about the Radio Priest?

"If not," the defense lawyer continued, "why did you wait until January to arrest John Cassidy, when everyone knows he was out of this case last November after his break with Bishop?

"If you were only out to get the conspirators, as you say, why didn't you arrest Francis Malone on September 7, when with a *Congressional Record* under his arm. he was supposed to have urged the overthrow of the Government?

"The Government says that the Christian Front is not on trial. But can we be sure that it wasn't out to get the Number One Christian Fronter in America, and, failing that, swooped down upon that 'chocolate-cake revolutionary' 18-year-old William Bushnell, and these others.

Alfred Quinlan Freed of Sedition

Attorney Healy began his three day defense summation after defense counsel had again petitioned Judge Marcus B. Campbell for a directed verdict of acquittal for the 14 defendants.

Justice Campbell denied all the motions except that presented by Edmund Wilson on behalf of Alfred Quinlan.

Because he had attended only one of the weekly meetings held in the home of Macklin Boettger, the sedition charge against Quinlan was dismissed. He was not released, however, from the second count in the Government's indictment, conspiracy to steal Government property, since as Captain John T. Prout's supply sergeant he had helped pack into a suitcase some of the left-over ammunition Prout had given to Bishop.

Quinlan's release cut the number of alleged revolutionary plotters down to 13. Of the 17 defendants originally charged with conspiracy to overthrow the Government, Claus Gunther Ernecke committed suicide, and George Kelly and Edward Walsh were freed in the middle of the trial for lack of evidence.

Quotes Abe Lincoln on Right to Revolt

First of the defense attorneys to sum up the case last week was Walter N. Thayer, counsel for Captain Prout. Mr. Thayer admitted that Prout had done a "stupid thing" in giving cartridges and powder to Bishop, but denied that he had conspired against the United States.

"If Prout was part of a conspiracy," Thayer asked, "why did he furnish ammunition to Bishop in the presence of other guardsmen? Bishop fooled the Department of Labor when he became one of its investigators. Why shouldn't he have fooled Prout? My client gave away about $40 worth of ammunition, but he has given thousands of dollars worth of his time and service to his country in the National Guard."

Mr. Thayer then read a paper to the jury which stated that any people had the right to revolt and overthrow their masters. "This statement," the lawyer declared, "was written by Abraham Lincoln in 1848. It's lucky that Denis Healy, the informer, was not living at that time. If he had, Lincoln would have been arrested as a traitor!"

Mr. Thayer's summation lasted one morning. Mr. Healy's, which followed, lasted nearly three days. Attorneys Edmund Wilson, Martin Conboy and Emanuele Trotta presented the cases for defendants Beirne, Buckley, Quinlan and Bishop earlier in the week.

United States Attorney Harold M. Kennedy will be the last to sum up, after which the case goes to the jury.

Informer Is Called 'Shaun na Soggarth'

Like Mr. Thayer, Attorney Healy pulled no punches while discussing the part in the case played by Denis Healy, son of a British spy, who was the Government's star witness. "Denis Healy," the lawyer asserted in volcanic fury, "is a *Shaun na Soggarth,* the name given to those in Ireland who turned in priests at $25 a head! Healy, however, has made more out of this case."

The defense counsel referred to the $385 reportedly paid to the informer by the F.B.I. for his work during the investigation.

"Are you going to convict these defendants on the testimony of an admitted liar?" the attorney asked the jury. "After swearing under oath as to his age and birthplace, he reversed himself and said he didn't know how old he was or where he was born. I have proved he swore falsely on his citizenship papers and marriage application. He testified that he fought in the Irish Free State army, years before that army was actually founded."

"Healy has said he was not an anti-Semite; that he loved the Jews," the lawyer continued. "Yet he stated on the stand for all to hear that the *Kol Nidre,* the sacred Jewish oath, was a lot of junk. It is my considered opinion that this man is the most vicious anti-Semite in America. In acting as the Government's stool-pigeon he was probably only trying to cover up the troubles in which he found himself as a result of his anti-Semitism.

Bishop an Egotist But No Conspirator

Turning to the mystery man of the case, Leo Healy exclaimed: "William Gerald Bishop is a liar, bluffer and faker. I know he liked to be called "Captain Bishop" in order to parade before the ladies, but that doesn't make him a conspirator against the Government. Why should Bishop want to overthrow the Government of the United States? It's the only country in the world that has offered him an ayslum. He's been kicked out of practically every other nation in the world.

"Bishop is simply a sophisticated rhetorician inebriated with his own verbosity. He suffers from an egotistical imagination. I felt sun-burned and seasick during his testimony on the stand; we jumped from England to Holland, to Spain, to Morocco. We fought on the burning sands of Arabia. But now let's return to the good old U.S.A., to this good old courthouse in Brooklyn, where in contrast to Europe, a man is innocent until he is proved guilty.

"Bishop spoke a great deal about revolution in the lecture group meetings," Mr. Healy continued. "You are led to believe from Denis Healy's testimony that this meant the defendants were plotting a revolt. Actually, they were merely discussing the plans of Communist world revolution and ways to prevent it.

"These young men were interested in rifle practice. Because they did a little shooting in the country some months ago, they are locked up as revolutionaries. If they did this today, they would be greeted as patriots who were learning civilian marksmanship in preparation against Fifth Columnists and parachutists. They were ahead of their time."

Hints at Mystery Force Behind the Case

"Macklin Boettger is charged with having said he would like to have a rifle with a telescopic sight so that he could 'go out and shoot a few Communists'," Attorney Healy stated. "Well, I too, would like to shoot a few Communists. I would like to see every Communist in this country strung up on the nearest lamppost. You cannot, however, convict a person for his likes and dislikes.

"United States Attorney Kennedy said at the opening of this case that race and religion were not on trial. If that is so, why have the *Protocols of Zion* and the *Talmud* been brought into this court room? Why have SOCIAL JUSTICE and the Brooklyn *Tablet* been constantly mentioned? If you jurors want to read these magazines, you better go home, pull down the shades and turn the lights down low. If you don't, the F.B.I. will get you!

"We should be frank about this case. The District Attorney's office and the F.B.I. didn't want it. *It was dumped into their laps.*

"What's behind it?

"Who is behind Denis Healy?

"I don't know. But I do know that Denis Healy admitted having discussed it with Jacob Aaronson, a lawyer in the employ of the New Central Railroad."

Most Important Case Since Aaron Burr

Often in his summation, Attorney Healy waxed particularly bitter about Miss Gertrude Wilbert, a resident of Narrowsburg, N. Y., who was the last witness in the trial, having been called by the Government in its rebuttal.

Miss Wilbert testified that at the bar of a roadhouse near Narrowsburg she had heard Defendant Francis Malone say that President Roosevelt was incompetent.

"Does that mean that Malone was anti-Semitic?" Mr. Healy asked the jury. He then recalled to the jurors' minds that Miss Wilbert, a free-lance journalist, had admitted writing an article on Father Coughlin and the Christian Front for an upstate newspaper.

Switching from sarcasm to seriousness, Leo Healy thunderously warned the jury: "This is the most important criminal case since the days of Aaron Burr.

If you convict these men, Earl Browder and his Reds will hail you as heroes."

Summer Tours to the Shrine Are Planned

For the information of thousands of readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine who annually make these tours, the following calendar of tour dates is presented:

Sunday, July 7—Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. For information regarding this pilgrimage please make contact with Mr. Floyd Nellett, 1242 East Oxford Street, Philadelphia, Pa.

Sunday, July 21 — St. Louis, Missouri, and Southern Illinois. Information regarding this date may be had from Mr. Joseph B. DeMoor, 2739 Gravois Avenue, St. Louis, Mo.

Sunday, July 28 — Cleveland, Ohio. In charge of W. F. Chamber, P. Barrett and J. M. Pressler.

Sunday, August 4—Rochester, New York. Contact Mr. Urban G. Kress, Social Justice Club of Rochester, 63 Willite Avenue, Rochester, N. Y.

Saturday, Sunday and Monday, Aug. 31, Sept. 1-2—Second tour from Philadelphia, in charge of Mr. James Furey, Jr., 2800 Gray's Ferry Avenue. Philadelphia, Pa

Monday, September 2 (Labor Day)—New Jersey. Contact Mr. Corbly, 38 Columbus Avenue, Newark, N. J.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

500 Little Christian Refugees

SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING COMPANY repeats the offer made in an editorial last week—

We are ready and willing to make ourselves responsible for feeding, housing, clothing and the education of 500 child refugees, if the Government of the United States will interest itself in the fate of the refugee war orphans of

England	Switzerland
France	Holland
Belgium	Poland
Norway	Scotland

as much as it did in behalf of the publicized Jewish refugees.

Warships of His Majesty's imperial navy have been busy over the past weeks safely convoying to Canada cargoes of gold and government documents deemed safer in Ottawa than London.

Are not the children of Sussex, Kent, Manchester, Birmingham, Southampton, Glasgow and Edinburgh of infinitely more value than the Bank of England's gold?

This is not in any sense an appeal for funds.

It is simply a frank statement to the effect that SOCIAL JUSTICE stands ready to back its honest opinions with action whenever practicable.

Will you, the Reader, obtain one new subscriber this week?

SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING CO., INC.
Royal Oak, Michigan
Gentlemen:
Please renew / enter my subscription to SOCIAL JUSTICE Magazine. I am enclosing $_____ in order to receive the TRUTH about world affairs for_____ weeks.
My Name Is _____
My Address Is _____
*City or Town*_____ *State*_____

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

GEORGE

Emperor of Britain



EDWARD

King of England

PARIS fell last week: is England next? When the British Empire withdraws to the safety of Ottawa, will Edward, Duke of Windsor, be available for recall as King of post-war England?

It was imperial British financialism, not the people of England, who forced the abdication of the young monarch when he married the American-born "woman I love."

The young king inspected the squalor in which Welsh miners were forced to live and said that "something must be done."

The financialists, who owned the mines, decreed Edward's exile. Now, with war about to liquidate their slave system of gold-debt capitalism, it is the financialists' turn at exile.

READ 'HITLER OVER AMERICA' on Page 7
and Other Free and Honest Comment on World Affairs

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED